

No.MCI-6(2)/2002-Med./

Medical Council of India

123rd Session

Minutes of the meeting held on 20th/21st Nov.,02

The Council met in the Council Office, Aiwan-E-Galib Marg, Kotla Road, New Delhi-110 002 on 20th & 21st Nov.,2002 at 11.00 a.m. with Maj Gen (Retd) SP Jhington, Kirti Chakra, VSM, Administrator, Medical Council of India in the Chair till 12.30 p.m. to consider the items of the adjourned meeting held on 16.10.2002 along with other matters which were ripe for consideration keeping in view the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 20.11.2002 and deciding to consider this meeting as a regular meeting.

**** ** ***

Present :

- | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1. Maj Gen (Retd) SP Jhington, | - Administrator |
| Kirti Chakra, VSM, | |
| 2. Dr. A. Rajasekaran | 26. Dr. Nitin S. Vora |
| 3. Dr. Ajay Kumar | 27. Dr. N.B. Singh |
| 4. Dr. A.C. Borah | 28. Dr. Naveen Nahar |
| 5. Dr. Amrith Lal | 29. Dr. P.C.Kesavankutty Nayar |
| 6. Dr. Bijoy Mukherjee | 30. Dr. P.K. Patel |
| 7. Dr. B. P. Dubey | 31. Dr. Pramod Singh |
| 8. Dr. B. A. Rudrawadi | 32. Dr. P.M. Jadhav |
| 9. Dr. B. S. Kothari | 33. Dr. (Mrs.) Rani Bhaskaran |
| 10. Dr. C. Das | 34. Dr. R.Chandrashekhara |
| 11. Dr. C.S. Jayachandran | 35. Dr. S.R. Maralihalli |
| 12. Dr. C.V.Bhirmanandham | 36. Dr. Samar Deb |
| 13. Dr. D.K. Sharma | 37. Dr. S. Mishra |
| 14. Dr. F.U. Ahmed | 38. Dr. S. B. Siwach |
| 15. Dr. G. Sham Sunder | 39. Dr. Subhash J. Penkar |
| 16. Dr. H.L. Kapoor | 40. Dr. S.S. Yadav |
| 17. Dr. H.P. Bhalodiya | 41. Dr. S.K. Sinha |
| 18. Dr. Indrajit Ray | 42. Dr. Silvano C.A.Dias Sapeco |
| 19. Dr K.M.Rameshchandra Babu | 43. Dr. Sahaja Nand Prasad Singh |
| 20. Dr. Ketan Desai | 44. Dr. (Mrs.) Usha Sharma |
| 21. Dr. Kartar Singh | 45. Dr. U.G.Nachinolkar |
| 22. Dr. L.S. Chawla | 46. Dr. V.N. Jindal |
| 23. Dr. Mohinder Nath | 47. Dr. V. Kanagaraj |
| 24. Dr. M.Amusna Singh | 48. Dr. V. K. Puri |
| 25. Dr. M.K. Sharma | 49. Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra |
| | 50. Dr. Vasant N. Pawar |

Dr. (Mrs.) M. Sachdeva – Secretary

Apologies for absence were received from the following members:-

1. Dr. B.C. Chhaparwal
2. Dr. B.C. Das
3. Dr. G.B. Gupta
4. Dr. S.P. Singh
5. Dr. S. Imkong Tushi A.O.
6. Dr. J.R. Kher
7. Dr. D.J. Borah

Apology for absence for the meeting of the General Body for 20th November, 2002 was received from Dr. Ketan Desai. However, he attended the meeting on 21st Nov., 02.

At the outset, the Administrator informed the House that before the Hon'ble members take up the agenda for the meeting he would like to inform with regret about the sad demise of Dr. B. Ray Chaudhuri, Former Vice-Chancellor, Calcutta University, Former Chairman of the Postgraduate Committee and presently member of the Council, on 16th Nov., 2002. Hence, the item No. 107 included in the additional agenda was taken up.

107. Condolences to the bereaved family of Dr. B. Ray Chaudhuri, former Vice-Chancellor, Calcutta University and member of the Council who passed away on 16/11/2002.

The Administrator stated that Dr. B. Ray Chaudhuri served the Council as an esteemed member from as late as the year 1983. He was Professor of Medicine at Medical College, Calcutta from 1978 to 1984 and Dean of the Faculty of Medicine, Calcutta University from 1986 to 1990. He was also Ex-Vice Chancellor of Calcutta University and was President, IMA in 1986.

The Administrator also informed that the condolences to the bereaved family on behalf of the office and on behalf of the Council members have already been sent. However, he requested the members to pass a resolution of condolence to be sent to the bereaved family in the memory of late Dr. B. Ray Chaudhuri in today's meeting and also to observe two minutes silence.

Two minutes silence was observed in the memory of late Dr. B. Ray Chaudhuri and the following condolence resolution to be conveyed to the bereaved family was passed.

“The Council resolved to condole the tragic death of Dr. B. Ray Chaudhuri and pray the God Almighty to give peace to the departed soul and to give strength and courage to the bereaved family to bear the irreparable loss.”

The meeting was then adjourned for five minutes.

The General Body of the Council then decided to consider the following items i.e. item No. 106, 108, and 109 as per procedure, this meeting being declared as regular meeting, rest of the items will be taken up

from the deferred agenda and the supplementary agenda as per chronological order.

106. Notification of new members.

The Secretary read out the notification of following new members elected/nominated as members of the Council since the last meeting of the Council held on 16th October,2002:-

<u>Sl.No.</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>U/s</u>	<u>Constituency</u>
1.	Dr.Vijay Prakash Singh	3(1)(b)	Patna University
2.	Dr. R.Chandrashekara	3(1)(b)	Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

108. Minutes of the last meeting of the Council – Confirmation of.

Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra referred to his letter dated 15.11.2002 pointing out that minutes of the meeting of the Council dated 16.10.2002 pertaining to two communications of Dr. Amitabh Varma, Advisor to the Administrator and observations thereto which were the basis and genesis of the ‘Standing Orders’ were read out and confirmed in that meeting. However, the same have been deleted from the minutes as per the directions of the Administrator and only the operative part of the ‘Standing Orders’ has been mentioned.

He, therefore, in concurrence of the House moved a resolution that minutes which were read out and confirmed in the meeting of the General Body held on 16.10.2002 be restored by incorporation of the same ad-verbatim as per correct procedure.

The Administrator raised an objection for incorporating the ‘Standing Orders’ in the draft minutes stating that the minutes are public document, however, he agreed that the same may be retained in the proceedings of the minutes.

Members did not agree with the above contention of the Administrator and pointed out that the Administrator could not tamper/meddle with the already confirmed minutes at its previous meeting i.e. 16.10.2002 and emphasised that the same are to be incorporated as confirmed in the original form. The Administrator, however, did not agree and the minutes were then confirmed by the members by a unanimous decision after incorporation of the ‘Standing Orders’ ad-verbatim.

109. Minutes of the last meeting of the Council – Action taken thereof.

The Council noted that the minutes of the last meeting of the Council held on 16/10/2002 were circulated to the members of the Council only on 14/11/2002 and action taken report will be placed before the next meeting of the Council.

At about 12.30 p.m. the Secretary informed the House that a letter dated 20.11.2002 has been received from Mr.A.Mariarputham, Advocate appearing on behalf of the Council in C.A. No.601-602 of 2002 Medical Council of India Vs. Dr. Harish Bhalla & Ors. wherein he has informed that the Hon'ble Supreme Court has been pleased to direct the Vice-President to work as the Acting President vice the Administrator who has been relieved. The Hon'ble Supreme Court has further constituted a Committee of four doctors namely Dr. Ranga Bashiam, Prof. P.N. Tandon, Dr. Bhansali and Dr.(Mrs.) S. Kantha. The case has been directed to be listed for further directions in February,2003.

After the letter was read out, Administrator adjourned the meeting. The Council reassembled after lunch when the Administrator in view of the letter of the Council Advocate and also the information given to him by his advocates M/s J.S. Wad & Co. informed the House through the Secretary that he has decided not to chair the meeting any further.

The members keeping in view the provisions of the Act requested Dr.P.C.Kesavankutty Nayar, Vice-President to take the Chair so as to enable the Council to conduct its business and decide on the agenda items placed before it. Accordingly Dr. Kesavankutty Nayar took the Chair and rest of the agenda items were considered under his Chairmanship.

9. Graduate Medical Education Regulations, 1997 – Migration Rules – Modification.

Read : The recommendations of the Migration Sub-Committee with regard to modification under Migration Rules prescribed in the Graduate Medical Education Regulations,1997.

The Council considered and approved the following recommendations of the Migration Sub-Committee duly approved by the Executive Committee and decided that the same be sent to the Central Govt. for approval as per provisions of Section 33 of the I.M.C. Act,1956:-

“In the meeting of the Migration Sub-Committee held on 26th August, 2002 before the Agenda was taken up one of the members pointed out that the Regulations on Migration as contained in Graduate Medical Education, 1997 prescribe among other things, that:-

“(4) The applicant candidate submits his application for migration, complete in all respects, to all authorities concerned within a period of one month of passing (declaration of results) the first professional Bachelor of Medicine and Bachelor of Surgery (MBBS) examination.”

The member further informed that in his experience and as per feedback received from various quarters the candidates or their parents find it difficult to obtain No Objection Certificate etc. from all the places in such a short time and they are unable to submit their

application within the stipulated time of one month. The member also pointed out that there are certain matters which are put up by the office beyond the stipulated period. While the matter was being deliberated it was informed to the Committee that the candidates submit their incomplete applications within the stipulated period but only when they submit complete documents, the matter is placed before the Migration Committee for consideration as per earlier instructions. That is how it appears that matters are put up beyond one month's time. The Committee was also apprised that the time limit of one month has been condoned in case where death of supporting guardian takes place later on but after admission in a medical college. In such a situation the time limit of one month has been waived on sympathetic consideration while still adhering to further clause that candidate will be allowed to appear in IInd Prof. University Examination only after completion of 18 months study in transferee medical college.

In view of the detailed discussions held, the Committee decided to resolve and to recommend to the Executive Committee of the Council for its consideration that the time limit of 1 month in submitting the applications for migration from one recognized to another recognized medical college should be waived of, as this period is too short for candidates to obtain all the connected documents for making complete applications. The Committee also feels that in view of the undertaking given by the candidates by way of an affidavit that they would complete full 18 months of second professional course in the transferee medical college before appearing in the second professional university examination, it would be impractical to insist on limited time period of making an application within one month of passing the 1st professional university examination.

The Committee therefore decided to recommend to the Executive Committee that the candidates should be allowed to submit their applications for migration in terms of regulations, as soon as possible after passing the first professional university examination but not later than 6 months of passing.”

10. Annamalai University – Recognition of M.D.(Pharmacology) qualification in respect of students being trained at Rajah Muthiah Medical College, Annamalainagar.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (August, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Rajah Muthiah Medical College, Annamalainagar for purpose of recognition of M.D.(Pharmacology) qualification granted by Annamalai University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (August, 2001) and decided to recommend that M.D. (Pharmacology) qualification granted by Annamalai University in

respect of students being trained at Rajah Muthiah Medical College, Annamalai Nagar be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 3(three) students per year.”

11. **Osmania University, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada – Recognition of M.D.(Radio-Diagnosis) & D.M.R.D. qualifications in respect of students being trained at Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad.**

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (May, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad for purpose of recognition of M.D.(Radio-Diagnosis) & D.M.R.D. qualifications granted by Osmania University, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada.

The Council considered the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (May, 2001) and decided to recommend that M.D. (Radio-diagnosis) and D.M.R.D. qualifications granted by Osmania University/Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences/NTR University of Health Sciences in respect of students being trained at Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act 1956 restricting the number of students to 2(two) students each per year.

The Committee further decided that an undertaking to the effect that candidates would not be registered for the DNB course be obtained from the authorities of the institution.”

The Council while approving the above recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee discussed the matter at length and observed that many of the medical colleges are running other postgraduate courses including DNB courses simultaneously under the same infrastructure facilities as available for conduct of M.D./M.S. courses. The Council decided to obtain information from all the medical colleges in the country regarding the conduct of DNB course simultaneously along with other postgraduate courses i.e. D.M./M.Ch./M.D./M.S./Diploma and further referred the matter to the Chairman, Postgraduate Committee for appointing a Sub-Committee to look into the matter on receipt of the information.

12. i) **Andhra University and Nagarjuna University – Recognition of M.D.(Microbiology) qualification in respect of students being trained Guntur Medical College, Guntur.**

- ii) **Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada - Approval of Guntur Medical College, Guntur for the award of M.D.(Microbiology) qualification.**

Read : The Council Inspector's report (May, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Guntur Medical College, Guntur for i) recognition of M.D.(Microbiology) qualification granted by Andhra University and Nagarjuna University and also ii) approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Microbiology) qualification earlier granted by Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & now by NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (May, 2001) and decided that -

- i) M.D. (Microbiology) qualification granted by Andhra University and Nagarjuna University in respect of students trained at Guntur Medical College, Guntur be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act 1956.
- ii) Guntur Medical College, Guntur be approved for the award of M.D. (Microbiology) qualification earlier granted by Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and now by NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year. The attention of the authorities be drawn towards the implementation of the observations made by the Council Inspector in the inspection report.”

13. i) **Andhra University and Nagarjuna University – Recognition of M.D.(Forensic Medicine) qualification in respect of Guntur Medical College, Guntur.**

- ii) **Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada – Approval of Guntur Medical College, Guntur for the award of M.D.(Forensic Medicine) qualification.**

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (November, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Guntur Medical College, Guntur for purpose of i) recognition of M.D.(Forensic Medicine) qualification granted by Andhra University and Nagarjuna University and ii) approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Forensic Medicine) qualification earlier granted by Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & now by NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (November, 2001) and decided to recommend that -

- i) M.D. (Forensic Medicine) qualification granted by Andhra University/Nagarjuna University in respect of students trained at Guntur Medical College, Guntur be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act 1956.
- ii) Guntur Medical College, Guntur be approved for the award of M.D. (Forensic Medicine) qualification earlier granted by Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and now by NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada restricting the number of admissions to 1(one) student per year. The attention of the authorities be drawn towards the implementation of the observations made by the Council Inspector in the inspection report.”

14. i) **Andhra University – Recognition of M.Ch.(Neuro-Surgery) qualification in respect of students being trained at Andhra Medical College, Visakhapatnam.**
- ii) **Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada - Approval of Andhra Medical College, Visakhapatnam for the award of M.Ch.(Neuro-Surgery) qualification.**

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (June, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Andhra Medical College, Visakhapatnam for i) recognition of M.Ch.(Neuro-Surgery) qualification granted by Andhra University and ii) approval of the college for the award of M.Ch.(Neuro-Surgery) qualification earlier granted by Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & now by NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (June, 2001) and decided to recommend that-

- i) MCh. (Neuro- Surgery) qualification granted by Andhra University in respect of students trained at Andhra Medical College, Visakhapatnam be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act 1956.
- ii) Andhra Medical College, Visakhapatnam be approved for the award of MCh. (Neuro- Surgery) qualification earlier granted by Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and now by NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada

restricting the number of admissions to 1(one) student per year.”

15. Andhra Pradesh University, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada - Approval of Rangaraya Medical College, Kakinada for the award of M.D.(Obst. & Gynae.) and D.G.O. qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (April, 2002) together with the previous inspection report (May, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Rangaraya Medical College, Kakinada for approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Obst. & Gyane.) & DGO qualifications earlier granted by Andhra University, Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada & now by the NTR University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector report (April,2002) together with previous inspection report (May,2001) and decided to recommend that Rangaraya Medical College, Kakinada be approved for the award of M.D.(Obst. & Gynae) and D.G.O. qualifications earlier granted by Andhra Pradesh University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada and now by the N.T.R. University of Health Sciences, Vijayawada. However, the Committee directed the institution to prospectively restrict the annual intake to 3 (three) students per year in each course in consonance with the provisions of the Postgraduate Medical Education Regulations, 2000.”

16. Calicut University – Recognition of M.D.(Tuberculosis & Respiratory Diseases) and D.T.C.D. qualifications in respect of students being trained at Medical College, Calicut.

Read : The compliance verification report (October, 2001) together with compliance and Council Inspector’s report (August, 94) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Medical College, Calicut for purpose of recognition of M.D.(Tuberculosis & Respiratory Diseases) & D.T.C.D. qualifications granted by Calicut University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the compliance verification report (October, 2001) together with compliance and the Council Inspector’s report (August 1994) and decided to recommend that M.D.(Tuberculosis & Respiratory Diseases) and DTCD qualifications granted by Calicut University in respect of students being trained at Medical College, Calicut be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act 1956 restricting the number of admissions in MD (Tuberculosis & Respiratory Diseases) and DTCD courses to 3(three) students each per year.”

17. Calicut University – Recognition of D.M.(Cardiology) qualification in respect of students being trained at Calicut Medical College, Calicut.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (February, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Calicut Medical College, Calicut for purpose of recognition of D.M.(Cardiology) qualification granted by Calicut University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (February 2002) and decided to recommend that D.M. (Cardiology) qualification granted by Calicut University in respect of students being trained at Calicut Medical College, Calicut be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act 1956, restricting the number of admissions to 1(one) student per year.”

18. University of Bombay/Mumbai– Recognition of M.D.(Forensic Medicine) qualification in respect of students being trained at Grant Medical College, Mumbai.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (March, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Grant Medical College, Mumbai for purpose of recognition of M.D.(Forensic Medicine) qualification granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (March, 2002) and decided to recommend that M.D.(Forensic Medicine) qualification granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai in respect of students being trained at Grant Medical College, Mumbai be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act, 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

19. University of Bombay/Mumbai– Approval of L.T.M. Medical College, Mumbai for the award of M.Ch.(Plastic-Surgery) qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (January, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at L.T.M. Medical College, Mumbai for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.Ch.(Plastic-Surgery) qualification granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (Jan., 2002) and decided to recommend that L.T.M. Medical College, Mumbai be approved for the award of MCh. (Plastic Surgery) qualification granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

20. University of Bombay/Mumbai – Recognition of D.M.(Gastroenterology) qualification in respect of students being trained at L.T.M.Medical College, Mumbai.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (July, 1999) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at L.T.M. Medical College, Mumbai together with the compliance for purpose of recognition of D.M.(Gastroenterology) qualification granted by University of Bombay.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (July, 1999) alongwith the compliance and decided to recommend that D.M. (Gastroenterology) qualification granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai in respect of students being trained at LTM Medical College, Mumbai be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act, 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

- 21. i) University of Bombay/Mumbai – Approval of the Seth G.S.Medical College, Mumbai for the award of M.D.(Psychiatry) & D.P.M. qualifications.**
- ii) Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nasik – Approval of the Seth G.S.Medical College, Mumbai for the award of D.P.M. qualification.**

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Seth G.S. Medical College, Mumbai together with the compliance for purpose of i) approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Psychiatry) & D.P.M. qualifications granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai and ii) approval of the college for the award of D.P.M. qualification granted by Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nasik.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) together with the compliance and decided to recommend that:

- i) Seth G.S. Medical College, Mumbai be approved for the award of M.D. (Psychiatry) and DPM qualifications granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai. However, the authorities of the institution are directed to prospectively restrict the annual intake to 2(two) students in MD(Psychiatry) and 1(one) student for DPM course in consonance with the provisions of Postgraduate Medical Education Regulations,2000.
- ii) Seth G.S.Medical College, Mumbai be approved for the award of DPM qualification earlier granted by Maharashtra University of Health Sciences, Nasik.”

22. Calcutta University – Recognition of M.D.(Tuberculosis & Respiratory Diseases) qualification in respect of students being trained at the Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education & Research, Calcutta.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (April, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at the Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education & Research, Calcutta for purpose of recognition of M.D.(Tuberculosis & Respiratory Diseases) qualification granted by Calcutta University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (April, 2002) and decided to recommend that M.D. (Tuberculosis & Respiratory Diseases) qualification granted by Calcutta University in respect of students being trained at Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education & Research, Calcutta be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act 1956 restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year.”

23. University of Delhi- Approval of Lady Hardinge Medical College, New Delhi for the award of M.D.(Dermatology, Venereology & Leprosy) qualificaiton.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (April, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Lady Hardinge Medical College, New Delhi for approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Dermatology, Venereology & Leprosy) qualification granted by University of Delhi.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee: -

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (April, 2002) and decided to recommend that Lady Hardinge Medical College, New Delhi be approved for the award of M.D.(Dermatology, Venereology & Leprosy) qualification granted by

Delhi University restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

24. University of Delhi – Approval of University College of Medical Sciences, Delhi for the award of M.S.(Anatomy) qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (April, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at University College of Medical Sciences, Delhi for approval of the college for the award of M.S.(Anatomy) qualification granted by University of Delhi.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (April, 2002) and decided to recommend that University College of Medical Sciences, Delhi be approved for the award of M.S.(Anatomy) qualification granted by Delhi University restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

25. Gujarat University – Approval of Smt. N.H.L. Municipal Medical College, Ahmedabad for the award of M.D.(S.P.M.) qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (May, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Smt. N.H.L. Municipal Medical College, Ahmedabad for approval of the college for the award of M.D.(S.P.M.) qualification granted by Gujarat University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (May, 2002) and decided to recommend that Smt. N.H.L.Municipal Medical College, Ahmedabad be approved for the award of M.D.(S.P.M.) qualification granted by Gujarat University restricting the number of admissions to 4(four) students per year.”

26. Goa University – Recognition of M.D.(Psychiatry) & D.P.M. qualifications in respect of students being trained at Goa Medical College, Panaji and Instt. of Psychiatry & Human Behaviour.

Read : The compliance verification report (April, 2002) and compliance together with Council Inspector’s report(March, 98) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Goa Medical College, Panaji and Instt,. of Psychiatry & Human Behavior for purpose of recognition of M.D.(Psychiatry) & D.P.M. qualifications granted by Goa University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March 1998) together with compliance and compliance

verification report (April 2002) and decided to recommend that M.D. (Psychiatry) & DPM qualifications granted by Goa University in respect of students being trained at Goa Medical College, Panaji and Instt. Of Psychiatry & Human Behaviour be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act 1956 restricting the number of student to 1(one) student in M.D. and 2(two) students in DPM per year.”

27. Himachal Pradesh University – Recognition of M.S.(E.N.T.) qualification in respect of students being trained at Indira Gandhi Medical College, Shimla.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (December, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Indira Gandhi Medical College, Shimla for purpose of recognition of M.S.(E.N.T.)qualification granted by Himachal Pradesh University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (December 2001) and decided to recommend that M.S. (ENT) qualification granted by Himachal Pradesh University in respect of students being trained at Indira Gandhi Medical College, Shimla be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

28. Himachal Pradesh University – Recognition of M.D.(Paediatrics) qualification in respect of students being trained at Indira Gandhi Medical College, Shimla.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (December, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Indira Gandhi Medical College, Shimla for purpose of recognition of M.D.(Paediatrics) qualification granted by Himachal Pradesh University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (December 2001) and decided to recommend that M.D. (Paediatrics) qualification granted by Himachal Pradesh University in respect of students being trained at Indira Gandhi Medical College, Shimla be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act 1956 restricting the number of students to 2(two) students per year.”

29. Kerala University – Recognition of M.Ch.(Urology) qualification in respect of students being trained at Medical College, Thiruvananthapuram.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (April, 2002) on the standard

of examination and other teaching facilities available at Medical College, Thiruvananthapuram for purpose of recognition of M.Ch.(Urology) qualification granted by Kerala University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (April, 2002) and decided to recommend that MCh. (Urology) qualification granted by Kerala University in respect of students being trained at Medical College, Thiruvananthapuram, be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act 1956 restricting the number of students to 2(two) students per year. However, the attention of the authorities be drawn towards the implementation of the observations made by the Council Inspector in the inspection report.”

30. Manipur University - Recognition of M.D.(Pharmacology) qualification in respect of students being trained at Regional Institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal, Manipur.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (July, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Regional Instt. of Medical Sciences, Imphal, Manipur for purpose of recognition of M.D.(Pharmacology) qualifications granted by Manipur University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (July, 2001) and decided to recommend that M.D. (Pharmacology) qualification granted by Manipur University in respect of students being trained at Regional Institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal, Manipur be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act, 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

31. Nagpur University – Approval of Mahatma Gandhi Instt. of Medical Sciences, Sewagram, Wardha for the award of M.S.(General Surgery) qualification.

Read : The compliance verification report (September, 2001) together with compliance and Council Inspector’s report (April, 98) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Mahatma Gandhi Instt. of Medical Sciences, Sewagram, Wardha for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.S.(General Surgery) qualification granted by Nagpur University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the compliance verification report (Sept. 2001) together with compliance and the Council

Inspector's report (April, 1998) and decided to recommend that Mahatma Gandhi Instt. of Medical Sciences, Sewagram, Wardha be approved for the award of M.S.(General Surgery) qualification granted by Nagpur University restricting the number of admissions to 3(three) students per year. The attention of the authorities be drawn towards the implementation of the observations made by the Council Inspector in the inspection report.”

32. Nizam's Institute of Medical Science, Hyderabad(Deemed University) – Recognition of M.Ch.(Genito-Urinary Surgery) qualification in respect of students being trained at Nizam's Institute of Medical Sciences, Hyderabad.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (December, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Nizam's Institute of Medical Science, Hyderabad for purpose of recognition of M.Ch.(Genito-Urinary Surgery) qualifications granted by the Institute.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (December 2001) and decided to recommend that MCh. (Genito Urinary Surgery) qualification granted by Nizam's Institute of Medical Sciences, Hyderabad (Deemed University) in respect of students being trained at Nizam's Institute of Medical Sciences, Hyderabad be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 1(one) student per year.”

Office Note: The Council while approving the above recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee decided that a circular letter be sent to all the Universities mentioning therein that every University should follow the nomenclature of the postgraduate medical qualifications as prescribed/included in the Postgraduate Medical Education Regulations,2000 of the Council.

33. Nizam's Institute of Medical Science, Hyderabad(Deemed University) – Recognition of D.M.(Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics) qualification in respect of students being trained at Nizam's Institute of Medical Sciences, Hyderabad.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (December, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Nizam's Institute of Medical Science, Hyderabad for purpose of recognition of D.M.(Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics) qualification granted by the Institute.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (Dec. 2001) and decided to recommend that D.M. (Clinical

Pharmacology & Therapeutics) qualification granted by Nizam's Institute of Medical Science, Hyderabad (Deemed University) in respect of students being trained at Nizam's Instt. Of Medical Sciences, Hyderabad be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act 1956 restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year.”

34. Nizam's Institute of Medical Science, Hyderabad(Deemed University) – Recognition of M.Ch.(Plastic-Surgery) qualification in respect of students being trained at Nizam's Institute of Medical Sciences, Hyderabad.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (December, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Nizam's Institute of Medical Science, Hyderabad for purpose of recognition of M.Ch.(Plastic-Surgery) qualification granted by the Institute.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (Dec. 2001) and decided to recommend that MCh. (Plastic Surgery) qualification granted by Nizam's Institute of Medical Science, Hyderabad (Deemed University) in respect of students being trained at Nizam's Instt. Of Medical Sciences, Hyderabad be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act 1956 restricting the number of admission to 2(two) students per year.”

35. University of Poona – Approval of Rural Medical College, Loni for the award of M.D.(General Medicine) qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (January, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Rural Medical College, Loni for approval of the college for the award of M.D.(General Medicine) qualification granted by University of Poona.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (Jan. 2002) and decided to recommend that Rural Medical College, Loni be approved for the award of M.D.(General Medicine) qualification granted by University of Poona restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

36. University of Poona - Approval of Rural Medical College, Loni for the award of M.S.(General Surgery) qualification .

Read : The Council Inspector's report (January, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Rural Medical College, Poona for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.S.(General Surgery) qualification granted by University of Poona.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (Jan. 2002) and decided to recommend that Rural Medical College, Loni be approved for the award of M.S.(General Surgery) qualification granted by University of Poona restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year. “

37. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of J.S.S. Medical College, Mysore for the award of D.L.O. qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (May, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at J.S.S. Medical College, Mysore for approval of the college for the award of D.L.O. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (May 2002) and decided to recommend that J.S.S. Medical College, Mysore be approved for the award of D.L.O. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

38. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore- Approval of Al-Ameen Medical College, Bijapur for the award of M.D. (General Medicine) qualification.

Read : The compliance verification report (April, 2002) together with compliance and Council Inspectors reports (February, 2001 & March, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Al-Ameen Medical College, Bijapur for the award of M.D.(General Medicine) qualification granted by the Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the compliance verification report (April, 2002) together with compliance and Council Inspectors reports (February, 2001 & March, 2001) and decided to recommend that Al-Ameen Medical College, Bijapur be approved for the award of M.D.(General Medicine) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admission 1(one) student per year.”

39. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of Al-Ameen Medical College, Bijapur for the award of D.O. qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (September, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Al-Ameen Medical College, Bijapur for approval of the college for the award of D.O. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (September,2001) and decided to recommend that Al-Ameen Medical College, Bijapur be approved for the award of D.O. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year.

The attention of the authorities be drawn towards the implementation of the observations made by the Council Inspector in the inspection report.”

40. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of Al-Ameen Medical College, Bijapur for the award of M.D.(Pathology) qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (September, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Al-Ameen Medical College, Bijapur for approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Pathology) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (September,2001) and decided to recommend that Al-Ameen Medical College, Bijapur be approved for the award of M.D.(Pathology) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year.”

41. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of Adichunchanagiri Institute of Medical Sciences, Mandya Distt. For the award of M.D.(Biochemistry) qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (September, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Adichunchanagiri Institute of Medical Sciences, Mandya Distt, for approval

of the college for the award of M.D.(Biochemistry) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (September, 2001) and decided to recommend that Adichunchanagiri Institute of Medical Sciences, Mandya Distt, be approved for the award of M.D(Biochemistry) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year.”

42. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of St. John’s Medical College, Bangalore for the award of M.D.(Microbiology) qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (September, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at St.John’s Medical College, Bangalore for approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Microbiology) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-.

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report(September, 2001) and decided to recommend that St. John’s Medical College, Bangalore be approved for the award of M.D(Microbiology) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

43. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of Fr. Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore for the award of D.A. qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (October, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Fr. Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore for approval of the college for the award of D.A. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report(October, 2001) and decided to recommend that Fr. Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore be approved for the award of D.A. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

44. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of Fr. Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore for the award of D.G.O. qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (September, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Fr. Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore for approval of the college for the award of D.G.O. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (September,2001) and decided to recommend that Fr.Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore be approved for the award of DGO qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore. However, the authorities of the institution are directed to prospectively restrict the number of admission to 1(one) student per year in view of the fact that Unit – II is deficient in term of teaching faculty.”

From the inspection report, the Council noted that the Family Planning Operations are not done in the department and the patients are sent to Urban P.H.C. attached to hospital for this purpose. The Council decided to inform the institute authorities that this practice should not be followed. The Family Planning operations should be done in the department itself.

45. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of Fr. Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore for the award of D.Ortho. qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (September, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Fr. Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore for approval of the college for the award of D.Ortho. Qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (September,2001) and decided to recommend that Fr.Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore be approved for the award of D.Ortho. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore. However, the authorities of the institution are directed to prospectively restrict the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

46. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of Fr. Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore for the award of M.D.(General Medicine) qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (April, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Fr. Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore for approval of the college for the award of M.D.(General Medicine) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (April,2002) and decided to recommend that Fr.Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore be approved for the award of M.D.(General Medicine) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.

47. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of Fr. Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore for the award of M.D.(Anaesthesia) qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (April, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Fr. Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore for approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Anaesthesia) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (April,2002) and decided to recommend that Fr. Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore be approved for the award of M.D.(Anaesthesia) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

48. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of Fr. Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore for the award of M.S.(General Surgery) qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (April, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Fr. Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore for approval of the college for the award of M.S.(General Surgery) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (April,2002) and decided to recommend that Fr.Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore be approved for the award of M.S.(General Surgery) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

49. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of Fr. Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore for the award of D.C.H. qualificaion.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (September, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Fr. Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore for approval of the college for the award of D.C.H. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (September 2001) and decided to recommend that Fr. Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore be approved for the award of D.C.H. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences. However, the authorities are directed to prospectively restrict the number of admissions in DCH course to 2(two) students per year.”

50. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of Fr. Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore for the award of M.D.(Psychiatry) qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (April, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Father Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore for approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Psychiatry) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (April, 2002) and decided to recommend that Father Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore be approved for the award of M.D.(Psychiatry) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

51. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of Fr. Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore for the award of M.D.(Obst. & Gynae.) qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (April, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Father Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore for approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Obst. & Gynae.) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (April, 2002) and decided to recommend that Father Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore be approved for the award of M.D.(Obst. & Gynae.) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year. “

52. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of Fr. Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore for the award of M.D.(Paediatrics) qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (April, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Father Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore for approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Paediatrics) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (April, 2002) and decided to recommend that Father Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore be approved for the award of M.D.(Paediatrics) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

53. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of Fr. Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore for the award of M.S.(Orthopaedics) qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (April, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Father Muller’s Medical College, Mangalore for approval of the college for the award of M.S.(Orthopaedics) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (April, 2002) and decided to recommend that Father Muller's Medical College, Mangalore be approved for the award of M.S.(Orthopaedics) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

54. Bangalore University and Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of M.S. Ramaiah Medical College, Bangalore for the award of M.S.(Anatomy) qualification .

Read : The compliance together with Council Inspector report (September, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at M.S. Ramaiah Medical College, Bangalore for approval of the college for the award of M.S.(Anatomy) qualification granted by Bangalore University and Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (September,2001) together with compliance and decided to recommend that M.S.Ramaiah Medical College, Bangalore be approved for the award of M.S.(Anatomy) qualification granted by Bangalore University & Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admissions to 2 (two) students per year.”

55. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of M.S. Ramaiah Medical College, Bangalore for the award of D.O. qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (September, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at M.S. Ramaiah Medical College, Bangalore for approval of the college for the award of D.O. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (September,2001) and decided to recommend that M.S. Ramaiah Medical College, Bangalore be approved for the award of D.O. qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year.”

56. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of Kempegowda Institute of Medical Sciences, Bangalore for the award of M.D.(Psychiatry) qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (September, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Kempegowda Institute of Medical Sciences, Bangalore for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Psychiatry) qualification granted by the Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (September,2001) and decided to recommend that Kempegowda Institute of Medical Sciences, Bangalore be approved for the award of M.D.(Psychiatry) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year.

The attention of the authorities be drawn towards the implementation of the observations made by the Council Inspector in the inspection report.”

57. Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of Kempegowda Institute of Medical Sciences, Bangalore for the award of M.D.(Biochemistry) qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (April, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Kempegowda Institute of Medical Sciences, Bangalore for approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Biochemistry) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (April 2002) and decided to recommend that Kempegowda Institute of Medical Sciences, Bangalore be approved for the award of M.D. (Biochemistry) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

58. i) Gulbarga University – Recognition of M.D.(S.P.M./Community Medicine) qualification in respect of students being trained at M.R. Medical College, Gulbarga.

ii) **Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of M.R. Medical College, Gulbarga for the award of M.D.(S.P.M./Community Medicine) qualification.**

Read : The Council Inspector's report (September, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at M.R. Medical College, Gulbarga for purpose of i) recognition of M.D.(S.P.M./Community Medicine) qualification granted by Gulbarga University and ii) for approval of the college for the award of M.D.(S.P.M./Community Medicine) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (September,2001) and decided to recommend that –

- i) M.D.(S.P.M./Community Medicine) qualification granted by Gulbarga University in respect of students trained at M.R. Medical College, Gulbarga be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act,1956.
- ii) M.R.Medical College, Gulbarga be also approved for the award of M.D.(SPM/Community Medicine) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore, restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.

The attention of the authorities be drawn towards the implementation of the observations made by the Council Inspector in the inspection report.”

59. **Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore – Approval of Sri Devraj Urs Medical College, Kolar for the award of M.D.(Skin & V.D.) qualification.**

Read : The Council Inspector's report (September, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Sri Devraj Urs Medical College, Kolar together with compliance for approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Skin & V.D.) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (September,2001) and decided to recommend that Sri Devraj Urs Medical College, Kolar be approved for the award of M.D.(Skin & V.D.) qualification granted by Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year.”

60. Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot- Approval of Guru Gobind Singh Medical College, Faridkot for the award of M.S.(General Surgery) qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (October, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Guru Gobind Singh Medical College, Faridkot for approval of the college for the award of M.S.(General Surgery) qualification granted by Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (October,2001) and decided to recommend that Guru Gobind Singh Medical College, Faridkot be approved for the award of M.S. (General Surgery) qualification granted by Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot restricting the number of admissions to 3(three) students per year.

The attention of the authorities be drawn towards the implementation of the observations made by the Council Inspector in the inspection report.”

61. Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot- Approval of Guru Gobind Singh Medical College, Faridkot for the award of M.D.(Dermatology & Venereology) qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (October, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Guru Gobind Singh Medical College, Faridkot for approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Dermatology & Venereology) qualification granted by Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (October, 2001) and decided to recommend that Guru Gobind Singh Medical College, Faridkot be approved for the award of M.D.(Dermatology & Venereology) qualification granted by Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

62. i) Punjabi University -Recognition of M.D.(Biochemistry) qualification in respect of students being trained at Govt. Medical College, Patiala.

ii) **Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot- Approval of Govt. Medical College, Patiala for the award of M.D.(Biochemistry) qualification.**

Read : The Council Inspector's report (October, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Govt. Medical College, Patiala for purpose of i) recognition of M.D.(Biochemistry) qualification granted by Punjabi University and ii) approval of Govt. Medical College, Patiala for the award of M.D.(Biochemistry) qualification granted by Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (October,2001) and decided to recommend that :-

- i) M.D. (Biochemistry) qualification granted by Punjabi University in respect of students trained at Govt. Medical College, Patiala be recognised & included in the first schedule to the I.M.C.Act,1956.
- ii) Govt. Medical College, Patiala be also approved for the award of M.D.(Biochemistry) qualification granted by Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot restricting the number of admissions to 3(three) students per year.”

The attention of the authorities be drawn towards the implementation of observations made by the Council Inspector in the inspection report.”

63. **Punjab University and Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot – Recognition of M.D.(Radio-therapy) qualification in respect of students being trained at Christian Medical College, Ludhiana.**

Read : The Council Inspector's report (January, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Christian Medical College, Ludhiana for purpose of recognition of M.D.(Radio-therapy) qualification earlier granted by Punjab University and now by Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (January,2002) and decided to recommend that M.D.(Radio-therapy) qualification granted earlier by Punjab University and now by Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot in respect of students being trained at Christian Medical College, Ludhiana be recognised and included in the first schedule to the I.M.C.Act,1956 restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year.”

64. i) **Punjab University -Recognition of M.D.(S.P.M.) qualification in respect of students being trained at Dayanand Medical College, Ludhiana.**
- ii) **Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot-Approval of Dayanand Medical College, Ludhiana for the award of M.D.(S.P.M.) qualification.**

Read : The Council Inspector's report (October, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Dayanand Medical College, Ludhiana for purpose of i) recognition of M.D.(S.P.M.) qualification granted by Punjab University and ii) approval of Dayanand Medical College, Ludhiana for the award of M.D.(S.P.M.) qualification granted by Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (October,2001) and decided to recommend that :-

- i) M.D. (SPM) qualification granted by Punjab University in respect of students trained at Dayanand Medical College, Ludhiana be recognised & included in the first schedule to the I.M.C.Act,1956.
- ii) Dayanand Medical College, Ludhiana be also approved for the award of MD(SPM) qualification granted by Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot restricting the number of admissions to 1(one) student per year.”

65. **Punjab University & Baba Farid University of Health Sciences,Faridkot – Approval of Dayanand Medical College, Ludhiana for the award of M.S.(Ophthalmology).**

Read : The Council Inspector's report (January, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Dayanand Medical College, Ludhiana for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.S.(Ophthalmology) qualification earlier granted by Punjab University & now by Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (January,2002) and decided to recommend that the Dayanand Medical College, Ludhiana be approved for the award of M.S.(Ophthalmology) qualification earlier granted by Punjab University & now by Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot. However, the authorities of the institution are directed to prospectively restrict the number of admission to 1(one) student per year.”

66. i) **Punjab University & Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot – Recognition of D.L.O. qualification in respect of students being trained at Dayanand Medical College, Ludhiana.**
- ii) **Punjab University & Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot – Approval Dayanand Medical College, Ludhiana for the award of M.S.(E.N.T.)qualification.**

Read : The Council Inspector's report (January, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Dayanand Medical College, Ludhiana for purpose of i) recognition of D.L.O. qualification and ii) also approval of the college for the award of M.S.(E.N.T.) qualification earlier granted by Punjab University & now by Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (January,2002) and decided to recommend that :-

- i) D.L.O qualification earlier granted by Punjab University & now by Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot in respect of students being trained at Dayanand Medical College, Ludhiana be recognised & included in the first schedule to the I.M.C.Act,1956.
- ii) Dayanand Medical College, Ludhiana be also approved for the award of MS(ENT) qualification earlier granted by Punjab University & now by Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot. However, the authorities are directed to prospectively restrict the annual intake to one student each for MS (ENT) and DLO courses in consonance with the available clinical material.”

67. **Punjab University and Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot – Approval of Dayanand Medical College, Ludhiana for the award of M.D.(Obst. & Gynae.) qualification.**

Read : The Council Inspector's report (February, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Dayanand Medical College, Ludhiana for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Obst. & Gynae.) qualification earlier granted by Punjab University and now by Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (February 2002) and decided to recommend that Dayanand Medical College, Ludhiana be approved for the award of MD (Obst. & Gynae) qualification earlier granted by Punjab University and now

by Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot. However, the authorities are directed to prospectively restrict the admissions in MD (Obst. & Gynae) course to 2(two) students per year.”

68. Rajasthan University – Recognition of M.Ch.(Plastic Surgery) qualification in respect of students being trained at S.M.S. Medical College, Jaipur.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (October, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at S.M.S. Medical College, Jaipur for purpose of recognition of M.Ch.(Plastic Surgery) qualification granted by Rajasthan University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (October,2001) and decided to recommend M.Ch.(Plastic Surgery) qualification granted by Rajasthan university in respect of students being trained at S.M.S.Medical College, Jaipur be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act,1956. restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

69. Rajasthan University – Recognition of M.D.(Forensic Medicine) qualification in respect of students being trained at S.M.S. Medical College, Jaipur.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (February, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at S.M.S. Medical College, Jaipur for purpose of recognition of M.D.(Forensic Medicine) qualification granted by Rajasthan University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (February 2002) and decided to recommend that M.D. (Forensic Medicine) qualification granted by Rajasthan University in respect of students being trained at S.M.S. Medical College, Jaipur be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act 1956 restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year.”

70. Rajasthan University – Approval of R.N.T. Medical College, Udaipur for the award of M.S.(E.N.T.) qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (April, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at R.N.T. Medical College, Udaipur for approval of the college for the award of M.S.(E.N.T.) qualification granted by Rajasthan University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (April, 2002) and decided to recommend that R.N.T. Medical College, Udaipur be approved for the award of M.S.(ENT) qualification granted by Rajasthan University restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

71. South Gujarat University – Recognition of M.S.(Ortho.) qualification in respect of students being trained at Govt. Medical College, Surat.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (June, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Govt. Medical College, Surat together with the compliance for purposes of recognition of M.S.(Ortho.) qualification granted by South Gujarat University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (June, 2001) together with the compliance and decided to recommend that M.S. (Orthopaedics) qualification granted by South Gujarat University in respect of students being trained at Govt. Medical College, Surat be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act, 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

72. Sardar Patel University – Recognition of M.D.(Anaesthesia) qualification in respect of students being trained at Pramukhswami Medical College, Karamsad.

Read : The compliance verification report (October, 2001) together with compliance and Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Pramukhswami Medical College, Karamsad for purpose of recognition of M.D.(Anaesthesia) qualification granted by Sardar Patel University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the compliance verification report (Oct. 2001) together with the compliance and the Council Inspector’s report (March, 2001) and decided to recommend that M.D. (Anaesthesia) qualification granted by Sardar Patel University in respect of students being trained at Pramukhswami Medical College, Karamsad be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act, 1956. However, the authorities of the institution are directed to prospectively restrict the annual intake in MD (Anaesthesia) course to 3(three) students per year in consonance with the available teaching faculty.”

73. Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences (Deemed University), Lucknow -Recognition of M.D.(Microbiology) qualification in respect of students being trained at Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (May, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow for purpose of recognition of M.D.(Microbiology) qualification granted by Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences(Deemed University), Lucknow.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (May 2002) and decided to recommend that M.D. (Microbiology) qualification granted by Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences (Deemed University) in respect of students being trained at Sanjay Gandhi Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Lucknow be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act 1956 restricting the number of students to 2(two) students per year.”

74. The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical Univerisity, Chennai-Approval of Cancer Institute Adyar, Chennai for the award of M.D.(Radio-therapy) qualification .

Read : The Council Inspector's report (April, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Cancer Institute Adyar, Chennai for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Radio-therapy) qualification granted by The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. University, Chennai.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (April, 2002) and decided to recommend that Cancer Institute Adyar, Chennai be approved for the award of M.D. (Radio-therapy) qualification granted by the Tamilnadu Dr. MGR Medical University, Chennai restricting the number of admission to 1(one) student per year.”

75. The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai — Approval of Kilpauk Medical College, Chennai for the award of D.M.(Gastroenterology) qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (March, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Kilpauk Medical College, Chennai for approval of the college for the award of D.M.(Gastroenterology) qualification granted by The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (March 2002) and decided to recommend that Kilpauk Medical College, Chennai be approved for the award of D.M. (Gastroenterology) qualification granted by The Tamilnadu Dr. MGR Medical University restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

76. Madras University and The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai - Approval of Christian Medical College, Vellore for the award of M.S.(Ophthalmology) & D.O. qualifications.

Read : The compliance verification report (January, 2002) together with compliance and Council Inspector's report (April, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Christian Medical College, Vellore for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.S.(Ophthalmology) & D.O. qualifications granted earlier by Madras University & now by The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the compliance verification report (Jan. 2002) together with compliance and the Council Inspector's report (April, 2001) and decided to recommend that Christian Medical College, Vellore be approved for the award of M.S.(Ophthalmology) and D.O. qualifications granted earlier by Madras University and now by The Tamil Nadu Dr. MGR Medical University, Chennai. However, the authorities of the institution are directed to prospectively restrict the annual intake in MS (Ophthalmology) and D.O. courses to 2(two) students in each course in consonance with the provisions of Postgraduate Medical Education Regulations,2000.”

- 77. i) Madras University and The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai – Recognition of M.D.(Community Medicine) qualification in respect of students being trained at Christian Medical College, Vellore.**
- ii) Madras University – Approval of Christian Medical College, Vellore for the award of M.D.(S.P.M.) qualification.**

Read : The Council Inspector's report (March, 2001) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Christian Medical College, Vellore for purpose of i) recognition of M.D.(Community Medicine) qualification and also ii) approval of the college for the award of M.D.(S.P.M.) qualification earlier granted by Madras University and now by The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical University, Chennai.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (March 2001) and decided to recommend that –

- i) M.D. (Community Medicine) qualification granted earlier by Madras University and now by The Tamil Nadu Dr. MGR Medical University in respect of students being trained at Christian Medical College, Vellore be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act 1956 restricting the number of admissions to 3(three) students per year.
- ii) Christian Medical College, Vellore be approved for the award of M.D. (SPM) qualification earlier granted by Madras University.”

78. The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical Univerisity, Chennai - Approval of Coimbatore Medical College, Coimbatore for the award of M.Ch.(Paediatric Surgery) qualification .

Read : The Council Inspector's report (March, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Coimbatore Medical College, Coimbatore for purpose of approval of the college for the award of M.Ch.(Paediatrics Surgery) qualification granted by the Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. University, Chennai.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (March, 2002) and decided to recommend that Coimbatore Medical College, Coimbatore be approved for the award of M.Ch.(Paediatrics Surgery) qualification granted by The Tamil Nadu Dr. MGR Medical University, Chennai restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.”

79. Madras University and The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. Medical Univerisity, Chennai- Approval of Madras Medical College, Chennai for the award of D.M.(Nephrology) qualification .

Read : The Council Inspector's report (March, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Madras Medical College, Chennai for purpose of approval of the college for the award of D.M.(Nephrology) qualification earlier granted by Madras University and now by The Tamilnadu Dr. M.G.R. University, Chennai.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (March, 2002) and decided to recommend that Madras Medical College, Chennai be approved for the award of D.M. (Nephrology) qualification earlier granted by Madras University and now by The Tamil Nadu Dr. MGR Medical University restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year. “

80. Recognition of M.D.(Psychiatry) and D.P.M. qualifications granted by Mangalore University in respect of students trained at Kasturba Medical College, Manipal .

Read : The letter dated 8.2.2002 from Registrar, MAHE regarding necessary amendments in the dates of recognition of M.D.(Psychiatry) & D.P.M. qualifications granted by Mangalore University and Manipal Academy of Higher Education in respect of Kasturba Medical College, Manipal.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the letter dated 8-2-2002 from the Registrar, MAHE and decided to recommend the necessary amendments so as to mention the period of recognition of the qualifications in respect of Kasturba Medical College, Manipal with the universities mentioned as under:

Under Mangalore University: from June, 1987 to June, 1994 and
Under MAHE, Manipal : from December, 1994 onwards”

81. M.D.(Radiology) qualification granted by Patna University in respect of students being trained at Patna Medical College, Patna - request for change of nomenclature to M.D.(Radio-Diagnosis) regarding.

Read : The letter dated 15.3.2002 from Registrar, Patna University regarding recognition of the M.D.(Radio-Diagnosis) qualification being changed nomenclature from already recognised degree of M.D.(Radiology).

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the letter dated 15-3-02 of the Registrar, Patna University conveying therein that though the qualification in the subject is recognised by nomenclature of MD (Radiology), the qualification awarded to the students since June, 1975 is by nomenclature of MD (Radio-Diagnosis) and requested that

the nomenclature of recognised qualification in the Schedule be changed to MD (Radio-Diagnosis).

The Committee decided to recommend that nomenclature of the recognised qualification in the Schedule be changed to MD (Radio-Diagnosis).”

82. Provision of Maternity Leave for postgraduate students .

Read : The letter dated 10.1.2002 from the Director, Pt.B.D.Sharma PGIMS, Rohtak regarding provision of Maternity Leave for postgraduate students.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee reviewed its earlier decision taken at its meeting held on 12.6.2001 and decided that the 80% attendance contemplated under Postgraduate Medical Education Regulations 2000 shall be evaluated year-wise. The grant of maternity leave during the period of postgraduate training shall be as per the prescribed rules of Govt. of India.”

83. Postgraduate Medical Education Regulations, 2000 – Determination of prior requirement qualifications for D.M.(Rheumatology).

Read : The matter with regard to prior requisite qualification(s) for admission to D.M.(Rheumatology) course.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the matter as regard the prior requirement qualification for admissions in DM (Rheumatology) course and decided to recommend that the prior requirement qualification in respect of the admissions in the said course be M.D. (General Medicine).”

84. Calcutta University – Recognition of various postgraduate qualifications being changed of nomenclature in already recognised concerned subject.

Read : The letter dated 27.11.2001 from the Registrar, University of Calcutta with regard to inclusion of the qualifications in the Schedule to the IMC Act, 1956 with the changed nomenclature of already recognised PG qualifications.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee noted the letter dated 27.11.2001 from the Registrar of University of Calcutta with regard to inclusion

of qualifications in the Schedules of the IMC Act,1956 with the changed nomenclature of already recognised PG qualifications and decided to recommend inclusion of the qualifications in the Ist Schedule with the changed nomenclature.”

85. Consideration of Scheme for Continuing Medical Education approved by Ethical Committee as part of Ethics Regulations & Proposal for its implementation.

Read : The Scheme for Continuing Medical Education approved by the Ethical Committee as part of Ethics Regulations & proposals for its implementation as approved by the Executive Committee.

The Council considered the Scheme for continuing medical education approved by the Ethical Committee as part of Ethics Regulations & proposals for its implementation as approved by the Executive Committee as under:-

“Scheme for continuing medical education programme for implementing the provisions in the Indian Medical Council (Professional conduct, Etiquette and Ethics) Regulations,2002

Introduction:

Tremendous advances are taking place in the field of medical sciences, which are continuously changing the concept, approach to management and the outcome of several diseases. The rapid pace of these advances makes it mandatory for doctors to keep themselves updated so that they may apply this information to their patient’s well being and improve the quality of care rendered to them. A doctor must never be satisfied with his/her current level of proficiency and must always strive to enhance his/her competence and knowledge by keeping abreast with the latest developments in the field. Medical Science is dynamic and there is no end of learning for a doctor. This is in essence the concept of continuing medical education (CME).

Background

The Parliamentary Committee on Subordinate Legislation (10th Lok Sabha) had made a recommendation (in May, 1996) that Medical Council of India should frame regulations to make it compulsory for every medical practitioner to attend CME programme and that minimum of 30 credit hours should be made necessary for renewal of registration of a doctor after every 5 years. A national workshop on medical education conducted under the auspices of the MCI in May 1996, made a recommendation for renewal of registration after every 5 years alongwith compulsory CME with atleast 30 credit hours in each year. The General Body of the Medical Council of India, at its meeting held on 27th February, 1997, recommended the renewal of registration after every 5 years for which purpose Indian Medical Council Act should be amended as there is no provision in the Act for such renewal. The Council also recommended that the renewal of registration should be linked with compulsory CME attendance with a minimum of 30 credit hours

every year. The decision of the Council was circulated to all State Governments and State Medical Councils with the request to identify the bodies/authorities/organisations who will provide CME to all medical practitioners, both general physicians and specialists (including those in Govt. service or in private Hospitals/Nursing Homes/Dispensaries or in private practice) with structured curriculum for each programme and also issue certificate of credit to medical practitioners indicating the number of credit hours etc.

New Ethics Regulations

While the question of amending the Act for periodical renewal of registration is pending with the Central Government, the Indian Medical Council (Professional conduct, Etiquette and Ethics) Regulations, 2002, approved by the Central Government has been notified in the Gazette of India, dated 6th April, 2002. Regulation No. 1.2.3. provides that “ A Physician should participate in professional meetings as part of Continuing Medical Education Programmes, for at least 30 hours every five years, organized by reputed professional academic bodies or any other authorized organisations. The compliance of this requirement shall be informed regularly to Medical Council of India or the State Medical Councils as the case may be” Since the above provisions have become mandatory, it has become necessary for the Council to consider the whole matter and issue necessary guidelines in regard to its implementation.

Authorities/bodies/organisations who may conduct CME Programmes

Various organisations which are already undertaking CME programmes through workshops, seminars or short term CME courses etc. have not been accredited either by the Medical Council of India or State Medical Councils, authorising them to issue certificates of credit hours to the participants attending CME programmes conducted by them. With the coming into force of the new Ethics Regulations, it has become necessary to accredit identified organisations so that the certificates of attendance at CME issued by them can be taken as valid for the purpose of fulfilling the requirements of the new Regulations.

The following organisations are considered for authorization to conduct CME programmes and issue certificates of attendance. The authorization may be done by the State Medical Council, where the organisation is situated or by the Medical Council of India in respect of All India Organisations.

1. All recognized Medical Colleges (with full fledged Medical Education Units who will organize CME programmes).
2. Indian Medical Association or the State Chapters of the Indian Medical Association.
3. National Academy of Medical Sciences.
4. Specialists Associations (only National level Associations or their State Chapters).
5. All recognized Postgraduate Medical Institutions.

6. Central Govt. and State Govts. Hospitals (including Districts Hospitals) and training centres in health field including Ministry of Health and FW, Defence, Railways & Open University Systems(IGNOU).

Modalities for accreditation

All State level organisations conducting CME Programmes at present should approach the State Medical Council concerned for accrediting these organisations for issue certificate of attendance in CME programmes conducted by them. Medical Colleges, Postgraduate Institutions and National level Organisations should approach the Medical Council of India for such accreditation. On receipt of such request, MCI/State Medical Councils shall consider the following factors for being granted accreditation for conducting CME programmes and award of credit hours.

1. Organizational structure including the availability of resource personnel who will deliver lectures, demonstrations etc.
2. Location where CME programme will be conducted.
3. The category of doctors (whether general physicians/specialists/doctors in Govt. Service/private practitioners etc.)
4. The schedule and transcripts of each CME activity proposed to be conducted during 1 year ahead.
5. The fee to be charged from each participant (according to the no. of hours and according to the material to be collected and provided to the participants).
6. Arrangements for advance publicity to the targeted group of participants.
7. Availability of educational materials, handouts, audio-visual equipments, slides and transparencies.
8. CME programme meant for graduate doctors should have a brief session on national health programmes on relevant subjects alongwith adequate exposure to recent advances, changing modalities of treatment, adequate exposure of consumer protection and medical insurance Laws, record keeping and medical audit.
9. The organisation should have arrangement for conducting CME programme throughout the year so as to cover large no. of medical practitioners.
10. Accommodation for conducting the CME should be arranged by the organisation to accommodate about 25 to 50 participants. In case of conferences or workshops arranged by Medical College, Postgraduate Institutions and National level Bodies, accommodation should be commensurate with the no. of invitees.
11. The methodology of feed back evaluation programme should be intimated in advance.
12. After every CME programme the accredited organisation should submit a report of the State Medical Councils /Medical Council of India, indicating the number and category of participants and including a report of the evaluation of the impact of the CME participants.

Consideration of accreditation of the organisations by the Council

The Medical Council of India/State Medical Councils shall consider the applications for accreditation of organisation for holding CME

programmes and convey their approval if the organisation fulfils all the above requirements and subject to the following conditions:-

1. The Organisation has all the requisites and demonstrated ability to plan and implement CME programmes to cover the targeted group (to be specified) as general practitioners, specialists (disciplines to be specified), teachers (specialists to be specified), Vertical National Health Programme officials etc.
2. Each CME programme of two days (5-6 hours daily) shall be eligible for a maximum of four(4) credit hours. If it is only for one day of 5-6 hours, the credit hours shall be two(2).
3. In case of distance education or CME certificate course of one year, the credit hours may be twenty (20) and in case of six months courses the credit hour may be ten(10).
4. The organisation should undertake to publish handouts, bring out a brief report of each CME and also prepare a list of participants and send to State Medical Councils/MCI as the case may be immediately after the CME programme.
5. Whether the transcript of each CME programme is considered to be relevant to the updating of the knowledge of the medical practitioners by way of latest medical advances, National Health Programmes, and the local needs of the area/zone/State.
6. Whether resource personnel are experienced enough in providing the CME programmes.
7. Whether arrangements are available for keeping record of participants along with their registration no., Medical Council where registered, complete address and the no. of credit hours of participation.
8. Whether the organisation as a programme of evaluation of CME to indicate the benefits accrued to participants by way of updating of knowledge, upgrading their ability and benefit to the patients.
9. Accreditation shall be for a period of one year at a time subject to renewal for a further period or curtailing or canceling the accreditation for valid reasons.
10. The accredited organisation shall issue certificate in the followings performa:-

Name of the Organisation
Complete address with Telephone No. Fax No., E-mail etc.

This is certify that Dr..... (Official Designation) (Organisation/Residential address}, has attended the CME Programme conducted by this organisation on (date/s) on the subject of for hours (Number of hours actual CME programme to be indicated).

Signature of the competent official

Name

Duties of Registered Medical Practitioners

Each medical practitioner shall submit a statement before the 31st January, each year showing the following details to the Medical Council of India/State Medical Council, where he is registered, in respect of CME programme attended by him during the previous year from the (1st January, upto the 31st December)

- i) Name of the Medical practitioners
- ii) Speciality –
- iii) Post held/whether a private practitioner
- iv) Official address
- v) Residential Address
- vi) Registration No. & Date
- vii) Medical Council with which registered
- viii) CME programme attended during the previous year
(please indicate dates and hours)
- ix) Please attach copy of certificate of attendance of CME
- x) Briefly indicate the knowledge/skill achieved.

Registers to be maintained by the Medical Councils.

Every State Medical Council/Medical Council of India shall maintain a register (computer data based) showing the names of accredited organisations conducting CME programmes indicating the year for which the accreditation is valid and the names of registered medical practitioners with their registration No., Council with which registered, number of credit hours of CME attended by them (indicating the date/month/year} for the purpose of record so as to ensure that each medical practitioner fulfills the criteria of attending 30 credit hours in a period of 5 years.

The Executive Committee also approved the recommendations of the Ethical Committee that keeping in view large volume of work to be handled by the Council in regard to approval of organisations conducting the CME course, keeping record of CME programmes attended each year by registered medical practitioners and coordinating with State Medical Councils etc. a separate section may be created for the purpose.”

The Council while approving the above proposed scheme for continuing medical education for implementing the provisions of Indian Medical Council (Professional Conduct, Etiquette and Ethics) Regulations, 2002, made the following observations:-

1. The name of National Board of Examinations may be added under the heading “Authorities/bodies/organisations who may conduct CME Programmes”.

2. Under the heading “Consideration of accreditation of the organisations by the Council” point 2 where it is mentioned that Each CME programme of two days (5-6 hours daily) shall be eligible for a maximum of four (4) credit hours & if it is only for one day of 5-6 hours, the credit hours shall be two (2).

The Council formed a Sub-Committee consisting of Dr. Indrajit Ray, Chairman, Ethical Committee; Dr. Ajay Kumar, Dr. V.K. Puri to deliberate in the matter of credit hours to be given for attending the CME and make its recommendations which then be circulated to members of the Council for their observations, if any.

86. To approve the minutes of the Postgraduate Committee meeting held on 16th & 17th Sept., 2002.

The Council approved the minutes of the Postgraduate Committee meeting held on 16th & 17th Sept., 2002.

87. Date and venue of the next meeting of the General Body.

The date and venue of the next meeting of the General Body will be considered at the Council’s meeting on 21st November, 2002.

The meeting was adjourned to 21st November, 2002 at 11.00 a.m.

The Council met in the Council office on 21st November, 2002 at 11.00 a.m. to consider the following items:-

88. i) **Bombay University – Approval of Goa Medical College, Bombolim, for the award of M.S.(Ophthalmology) qualification.**
- ii) **Goa University – Recognition of M.S.(Ophthalmology) qualification in respect of students being trained at at Goa Medical College, Bombolim, Goa.**

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (April, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Goa Medical College, Bombolim, Goa for purpose of i) approval of the college for the award of M.S.(Ophthalmology) qualification granted by Bombay University and also ii) for recognition of M.S.(Ophthalmology) qualification granted by Goa University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (April, 2002) and decided to recommend that –

- i) Goa Medical College, Bombolim, Goa be approved for the award of M.S.(Ophthalmology) qualification granted by Bombay University.

- ii) M.S.(Ophthalmology) qualification granted by Goa University in respect of students trained at Goa Medical College, Bombolim, Goa be recognised and included in the first schedule to the IMC Act,1956 restricting the number of admissions to 2(two) students per year.

The attention of the authorities be drawn towards the implementation of the observations made by the Council Inspector in the inspection report.”

89. Manipur University – Recognition of M.D.(Forensic Medicine) qualification in respect of students being trained at Regional Institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal, Manipur.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (July, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Regional Instt. of Medical Sciences, Imphal, Manipur for purpose of recognition of M.D.(Forensic Medicine) qualification granted by Manipur University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (July,2002) and decided to recommend that M.D.(Forensic Medicine) qualification granted by Manipur University in respect of students being trained at Regional Instt. of Medical Sciences, Imphal, Manipur be recognised and included in the 1st Schedule to the I.M.C. Act,1956 restricting the number of admissions to 2 (two) students per year.

The Committee further decided that the attention of the authorities of the institution be drawn towards the observations made by the Council Inspector in the inspection report.”

90. Manipur University – Recognition of M.D.(Physiology) qualification in respect of students being trained at Regional Institute of Medical Sciences, Imphal, Manipur.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (August, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Regional Instt. of Medical Sciences, Imphal, Manipur for purpose of recognition of M.D.(Physiology) qualification granted by Manipur University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (August,2002) and decided to recommend that M.D.(Physiology) qualification granted by Manipur University in respect of students being trained at Regional Instt. of Medical Sciences, Imphal, Manipur be recognised and included in the 1st

Schedule to the I.M.C. Act,1956 restricting the number of admissions to 2 (two) students per year.”

91. Kerala University – Recognition of M.D.(Microbiology) qualification in respect of students being trained at Medical College, Thiruvananthapuram.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (June, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Medical College, Thiruvananthapuram for purpose of recognition of M.D.(Microbiology) qualification granted by Kerala University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (June,2002) and decided to recommend that M.D.(Microbiology) qualification granted by Kerala University in respect of students being trained at Medical College, Thiruvananthapuram be recognised and included in the 1st Schedule to the I.M.C. Act,1956 restricting the number of admissions to 2 (two) students per year.”

92. Calicut University – Recognition of D.M.(Gastroenterology) qualification in respect of students being trained at Calicut Medical College, Calicut.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (June, 2002) on standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Calicut Medical College, Calicut for purpose of recognition of D.M.(Gastroenterology) qualification granted by Calicut University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (June,2002) and decided to recommend that D.M. (Gastroenterology) qualification granted by Calicut University in respect of students being trained at Calicut Medical College, Calicut be recognised and included in the 1st Schedule to the I.M.C. Act,1956 restricting the number of admission to 1 (one) student per year.

The Committee further decided that the attention of the authorities of the institution be drawn towards the observations made by the Council Inspector in the inspection report.”

93. Sri Ramachandra Medical College & Research Institute, Porur, Chennai(Deemed University) – Recognition of D.M.(Neurology)

qualification in respect of students being trained at Sri Ramachandra Medical College & Research Institute, Porur, Chennai.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (June, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Sri Ramachandra Medical College & Research Institute, Porur, Chennai (Deemed University) for purpose of recognition of D.M.(Neurology) qualification granted by the Institute.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (June,2002) and decided to recommend that D.M.(Neurology) qualification granted by Sri Ramachandra Medical College & Research Institute, Porur, Chennai (Deemed University) in respect of Sri Ramachandra Medical College & Research Institute, Porur, Chennai be recognised and included in the 1st Schedule to the I.M.C. Act,1956 restricting the number of admissions to 2 (two) students per year.”

94. University of Delhi – Approval of University College of Medical Sciences, Delhi for the award of M.D.(Pathology) qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (April, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at University College of Medical Sciences, Delhi for approval of the college for the award of M.D.(Pathology) qualification granted by University of Delhi.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (April,2002) and decided to recommend that University College of Medical Sciences, Delhi be approved for the award of M.D.(Pathology) qualification granted by Delhi University restricting the number of admissions to 2 (two) students per year.”

95. University of Bombay/Mumbai– Approval of Grant Medical College, Mumbai for the award of M.Ch.(Urology) qualification.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (July, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Grant Medical College, Mumbai for approval of the college for the award of M.Ch.(Urology) qualification granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (July,2002) and decided to recommend that Grant Medical

College, Mumbai be approved for the award of M.Ch.(Urology) qualification granted by University of Bombay/Mumbai. However, the authorities of the institution are directed to prospectively restrict the intake in M.Ch.(Urology) to 1 (one) student per year in consonance with the available full time teaching faculty.”

96. Rajasthan University – Recognition of M.D.(Microbiology) qualification in respect of students being trained at S.M.S. Medical College, Jaipur.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (June, 2002) on standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at S.M.S. Medical College, Jaipur for purpose of recognition of M.D.(Microbiology) qualification granted by Rajasthan University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (June, 2002) and decided to recommend that M.D.(Microbiology) qualification granted by Rajasthan University in respect of students being trained at S.M.S. Medical College, Jaipur be recognised and included in the 1st Schedule to the I.M.C. Act,1956 restricting the number of admissions to 2 (two) students per year.”

97. i) Punjab University –Approval of M.S.(Anatomy) qualification for the award of Pt. B.D. Sharma Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Rohtak.

ii) Kurukshetra University, B.N. Chakarvarti University, Rohtak University and Maharishi Dayanand University, Rohtak - Recognition of M.S.(Anatomy) qualification in respect of students being trained at Pt. B.D. Sharma Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Rohtak.

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (June, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Pt. B.D. Sharma Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Rohtak for purpose of i) approval of the college for the award of M.S.(Anatomy) qualification granted by Punjab University and ii) for recognition of M.S.(Anatomy) qualification earlier granted by Kurukshetra University, B.N. Chakarvarti University, Rohtak University and now by the Maharishi Dayanand University, Rohtak.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (June, 2002) and decided to recommend that Pt. B.D. Sharma Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Rohtak be approved for the award of M.S.(Anatomy) qualification earlier granted by Punjab University.

The Committee further decided to recommend that M.S.(Anatomy) qualification earlier granted by Kurukshetra University, B.N. Chakarvarti University, Rohtak University and now by the Maharishi Dayanand University, Rohtak be recognised and included in the 1st schedule to the I.M.C. Act,1956 restricting the number of admissions to 2 (two) students per year.”

98. i) **Punjab University -Approval of D.G.O. qualification for the award of Pt. B.D. Sharma Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Rohtak.**
- ii) **Kurukshetra University, B.N. Chakarvarti University, Rohtak University and Maharishi Dayanand University, Rohtak - Recognition of D.G.O. qualification in respect of students being trained at Pt. B.D. Sharma Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Rohtak.**

Read : The Council Inspector’s report (July, 2002) on the standard examination and other teaching facilities available at Pt. B.D. Sharma Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Rohtak for purpose of i) approval of the institution for the award of D.G.O. qualification granted by Punjab University and ii) for recognition of D.G.O. qualification earlier granted by Kurukshetra University , B.N. Chakarvarti University, Rohtak University and now by the Maharishi Dayanand University, Rohtak.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee :-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (July, 2002) and decided to recommend that Pt. B.D. Sharma Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Rohtak be approved for the award of D.G.O. qualification earlier granted by Punjab University.

The Committee further decided to recommend that D.G.O. qualification earlier granted by Kurushetra University , B.N. Chakarvarti University, Rohtak University and now by the Maharishi Dayanand University, Rohtak in respect of students being trained at Pt. B.D. Sharma Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Rohtak be recognised and included in the 1st schedule to the I.M.C. Act,1956 restricting the number of admissions to 6(six) students per year.”

99. **Approval of Medical College, Rohtak (now named Pt. B.D. Sharma Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Rohtak) for the award of M.D.(General Medicine) qualification – affiliation with Punjab University regarding.**

Read : The letter dated 27.4.2002 from the Director, Medical College, Rohtak (now named Pt. B.D. Sharma Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Rohtak) for necessary amendments regarding approval of the institution for the award of M.D.(General Medicine) qualification under Punjab University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the letter dated 27.4.2002

from the Director, Medical College, Rohtak (now named Pt. B.D. Sharma Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Rohtak) and noted that –

- (i) the qualification of M.D.(General Medicine) earlier granted by Kurukshetra University, B.N.Chakravarti University, Rohtak University and now by the Maharishi Dayanand University, Rohtak in respect of Medical College, Rohtak (now named Pt. B.D. Sharma Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Rohtak) is recognised and included in the 1st Schedule to the IMC Act,1956.
- (ii) the Institution, prior to affiliation with Kurukshetra University, was affiliated with Punjab University, under which M.D.(General Medicine) is recognised in respect of other institutions but not in respect of the said institution at Rohtak.
- (iii) the Institution was affiliated to Punjab University when M.D.(General Medicine) course was started after due inspection and approval by the MCI.
- (iv) the recognition of M.D.(General Medicine) qualification was considered and thereafter approved by the Council in March, 1978 by which time there had been changeover in affiliation of the institution to Kurukshetra University/B.N.Chakravarti University/Rohtak University; and now the request is for consideration of approval of the institution for the award of M.D.(General Medicine) qualification under Punjab University.

The Committee, in view of above facts, decided to recommend approval of Medical College, Rohtak (now named Pt. B.D. Sharma Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences, Rohtak) for the award of M.D.(General Medicine) under Punjab University.”

100. Procedure to be followed in conducting any enquiry in an alleged complaint case.

Read : The following recommendations of the Chairman, Ethical Committee with regard to procedure to be followed in conducting any enquiry in an alleged complaint case.

The Council considered the following recommendations of the Chairman, Ethical Committee with regard to procedure to be followed in conducting any enquiry in an alleged complaint case:-

“Whenever information is received that a Medical Practitioner, who is an applicant for registration, or whose name has already been

registered, has been guilty of conduct which prima facie constitutes infamous conduct in a professional respect, the Registrar shall make an abstract of such information and of such further information as he may have subsequently obtained.

Where the information in question is in the nature of a complaint by a person or body charging the Practitioner with infamous conduct in a professional respect such complaint shall be made in writing addressed to the Registrar, and shall state the grounds of complaint and shall be accompanied by one or more declarations as to the facts of the case except when the complaint is by a Government Department.

Every declaration must state the description and true place of abode of the declarant and where the fact stated in a declaration is not within the personal knowledge of the declarant, the source of the information and grounds for the belief of the declarant in its truth must be accurately and fully stated.

- 1) The abstract and all other documents bearing on the case together with any complaint that may have been lodged shall be submitted by the Registrar to the President, who shall, if he thinks fit, instruct the Registrar to ask the Practitioner by means of a registered letter for an explanation within a time to be fixed by the President. After the expiry of that time the documents with the explanation, if any, shall be referred for consideration of the Ethical Committee which shall be appointed by the Council. The Committee shall have power to cause further investigation to be made and further evidence to be taken and, if necessary, obtain further legal or other advice.
- 2) The Committee shall report to the Council, and if the Council consider that the case is one in which an enquiry ought to be held by the Council, the President shall direct the Registrar to take steps for the institution of an enquiry and for having the case heard and determined by the Council.

The enquiry shall be instituted by the issue of a notice in writing on behalf of the Council, by the Registrar, addressed to the Practitioner. Such notice shall specify the nature and particulars of the charge, shall inform the practitioner of the day on which the Council intend to deal with the case, and shall call upon him to answer the charge in writing and to attend before the Council on that day.

The notice shall be sent at least twenty-one days before the date of the enquiry, and shall be accompanied by a copy of Section 20A(2) of the Act. A copy of the notice should at the same time be sent to the complainant, if any.

In every case in which the Council resolve that an enquiry shall be instituted and a notice for an enquiry is issued accordingly, the complainant (if any) and the Medical Practitioner charged shall, upon request in writing for that purpose signed by him or his legal representative, be entitled to be supplied by the Registrar with a copy

of any declaration, explanation, answer or other document given or sent to the Council by or on behalf of the other party, which such other party will be entitled on proper proof to use at the hearing as evidence in support of or in answer to the charge specified in the notice of enquiry.

Any application made by the Medical Practitioner between the date of issue of the notice and the day named for the hearing of the charge shall be dealt with by the President in such manner as he shall think fit.

All material documents which are to be laid before the Council as evidence in regard to the case shall be printed, and a copy shall be furnished to each member of the Council before the hearing of the case.

At the hearing of the case by the council, the complainant and also the practitioner may be represented or assisted by a legal representative.

Where a complainant appears personally or by a legal representative, the order of procedure shall be as follows:

- 1) The Registrar will read to the Council the notice of the enquiry addressed to the Practitioner.
- 2) The complaint will then be invited to state his case himself or by his legal representative and to produce his proofs in support of it. At the conclusion of the complainant's proofs his case will be closed.
- 3) The practitioner will then be invited to state his case himself or by his legal representative, and to produce his proofs in support of it. He may address the Council either before or at the conclusion of his proofs, but only once.
- 4) At the conclusion of the Practitioner's case, the Council will, if the Practitioner has produced evidence, hear the complainant in reply on the case generally, but will hear no further evidence except in any special case in which the Council may think it right to receive such further evidence. If the Practitioner produces no evidence, the complainant will not be heard in reply, except by special leave of the Council.
- 5) Where a witness is produced by any party before the Council, he will be first examined by the party producing him and then cross-examined by the adverse party, and then re-examined by the party producing him. The Council may decline to admit in evidence any declaration where the declarant is not present for, or declines to submit to, cross examination.
- 6) The Chairman of the meeting may put questions to any witness and members of the Council, through the Chairman, may also put questions to any witness.

Where there is no complainant, or no complainant appears, the order of procedure shall be as follows:

- 1) The Registrar will read to the Council the notice of enquiry addressed to the Practitioner and will state the facts of the case and produce before the Council the evidence by which it is supported.
- 2) The practitioner will then be invited to state his case himself or by his legal representative, and to produce his proofs in support of it. He may address the Council either before or at the conclusion of his proofs, but only once.
- 3) Upon the conclusion of the case the Council will deliberate thereon in private, and at the conclusion of the deliberations the Chairman shall call upon the Council to vote on the question whether the Medical Practitioner charged is guilty of infamous conduct in a professional respect.
- 4) If the Council, by a majority of two-thirds of the members present and voting at the meeting, find the Medical practitioner guilty of infamous conduct in a professional respect, the Council shall direct the Registrar not to register his name, if he be an applicant for registration, or to remove his name from the Register of Registered Practitioners, if he is already a Registered practitioner or to warn or to censure him.

When the registration of the name of any Practitioner is refused, or when the name of any Registered practitioner is removed from the Register in accordance with the provisions of the proceeding rules, the Registrar shall forthwith send notice of such refusal or removal to the practitioner, by a registered letter addressed to his last known address. The Registrar shall also send, forthwith, intimation of any such refusal or removal to the body or bodies from whom the Practitioner received his qualification or qualifications, and shall request them not to admit him without previous reference to the Council to any Examination for any new qualification, which is registrable in the Register of Registered Practitioners. If a name is removed from the Register, the Registrar shall issue a notification in the Gazette of India announcing the removal and forward a summary of the proceedings and finding to the medical journals for publication.

MEDICAL COUNCIL OF INDIA
Aiwan-E-Galib Marg, Kotla Road,
Opp. Mata Sundri College, New Delhi-110002.

No. MCI-

Dated:

By Registered Post AD.

To,

Dr. _____
 (Registration No.)

Sir,

On behalf of the Medical Council of India, I give you notice that information and evidence have been laid before the Council by _____ of _____ vide his representation dated _____ alleging gross negligence on your part(as also your associate doctors) in connection with the treatment/management of the patient _____.

The Ethical Committee of this Council after thorough investigation submitted a report which was duly considered by the Council and the Council decided to hold further enquiry as per existing rules and accordingly following charges have been made against you viz.:-

“ _____ ” and in relation thereto you have been found prima-facie guilty of infamous conduct in a professional respect.

And I am directed further to give you notice that on _____, the _____ 2002 a Meeting of the Council will be held in the _____, to consider the above-mentioned charges against you and decide whether or not they should direct that your name be removed from the Registrar of Registered Medical Practitioners pursuant to Section 20A(2) of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956. You are invited and required to answer in writing the above charges and to attend before the Council at the above-named place at 2.30 P.M. to establish any denial or defence that you may have to make to the above-mentioned charges and you are hereby informed that if you do not attend as required, the Council may proceed to hear and to decide upon the said charges in your absence.

Any answer or other communication or application which you may desire to make respecting the said charges, or your defence thereto must be addressed to the Registrar, Medical Council of India and transmitted so as to reach him no less than 15 days before the day appointed for hearing of the case.

A copy of the section 20A(2) of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956, and of the rules to regulate the procedure for conducting any enquiry referred to in that section which your particular attention is invited is enclosed herewith for your information.

You are also requested to bring your original certificate of Registration when you will come to appear before the Council on the date specified above.

Yours faithfully,

REGISTRAR.

Memo No.....

Dated.:

UNDER CERTIFICATE OF POSTING/PER MESSENGER

Copy of the above forwarded to _____
with reference to his representation dated _____ with a request
to appear at the hearing of the case on the appointed date, time and place
mentioned above.

REGISTRAR

The Council upon considering the matter at length decided to induct three special invitees namely Dr.L.S.Chawla, Dr. A.Rajasekaran, & Dr.Ved Prakash Mishra in addition to members of the Ethical Committee of the Council to deliberate upon the matter and submit their final recommendations within a period of 10 days, which be then circulated to the members of the Council for their observations, if any, within 15 days from the receipt of the same, for its operational effect.

101. Proposed Amendments & Modalities of the Indian Medical Council (Professional Conduct, Etiquette and Ethics) Regulations, 2002 – Consideration of.

Read : The amendments & modalities of the Indian Medical Council (Professional Conduct, Etiquette and Ethics) Regulations,2002 as proposed by the Ethical Committee.

The Council considered the proposed amendments & modalities of the Indian Medical Council (Professional Conduct, Etiquette and Ethics) Regulations,2002 along with the following recommendations of the Ethical Committee:-

“The Ethical Committee at its meeting held on 7.10.2002 has proposed the following amendments & modalities of the Indian Medical Council (Professional Conduct, Etiquette & Ethics) Regulations,2002 and decided to place the same before the General Body of the Council for its consideration:-

- 1.A. The words “a copy of these regulations with a copy of declaration” be inserted in place of “a copy of declaration” in the second line.
- 1.2.3. Under the provision no. 1.2.3 of the Regulations where it has been prescribed that *“a Physician should participate in professional meetings as part of Continuing Medical Education programmes, for at least 30 hours every five years, organized by reputed professional academic bodies or any other authorized organizations. The compliance of this requirement shall be informed regularly to Medical Council of India or the State Medical Councils as the case may be”*, the Committee noted that the linking of CME programmes with re-registration for which recommendation has been sent to Central Government for amending the Act is still pending with the Central Government. In the absence of the amendment in the Act for renewal of registration, it may not be possible for strictly enforcing the

provisions of the above mentioned Regulations with regard to CME programmes. The Committee decided that this may be followed up by a reminder to the Central Government.

The Council further noted that the proposed Scheme for continuing medical education programme as prepared by the office has already been approved by the Executive Committee and was considered by it under item No. 85.

1.3 Maintenance of medical records:

1.3.1 Following modalities for maintenance of medical records shall be adhered to:

“Medical records pertaining to the indoor patients shall be maintained by the treating physician if he is running his own clinic/nursing home. Wherever the physician is not the owner of the nursing home/clinic but only is an attending physician in a particular hospital, he shall initial the records put in the prescribed format, which shall be maintained by the hospital concerned.”

1.5 Use of Generic names of drugs: The following note may be inserted after this clause:

(Note: However, the physician has to prescribe drugs keeping the best interest of the patient in his/her mind and it shall not be mandatory to prescribe drugs only by generic names.)

3.4.2. The word “OPENED” in the third line be replaced by “OPEN”.

3.7.2 Regarding the Note under this para, the following line may be added:

“In Government hospital where the patient load is heavy, the concerned hospital authorities will be responsible for providing rubber stamp in the name of the treating physician. The concerned authorities may be informed accordingly.”

6.2 Patent and Copy rights

The Committee recommended that the following sentence be deleted.

“However, it shall be unethical if the benefits of such patents or copyrights are not made available in situations where the interest of large population is involved.”

6.4.1 The Committee decided to recommend for inclusion of the following:-

The words

“or any drug or any implement from any individual, company, organisation etc.”, in Para 6.4.1 in the III line between the words “any patient” and “for Medical” should be inserted. The complete text would then be : 6.4.1 “ A physician shall not give, solicit, or receive nor shall he offer to give solicit or receive, any gift, gratuity,

commission or bonus in consideration of or return for the referring, recommending or procuring of any patient or any drug or any implement from any individual, company, organisation etc. for medical, surgical or other treatment. A physician shall not directly or indirectly, participate in or be a party to act of division, transference, assignment, subordination, rebating, splitting or refunding of any fee for medical, surgical or other treatment.”

- 7.8 The Ethical Committee recommended that following first sentence may be retained and the rest be deleted:

“A registered medical practitioner shall not contravene the provisions of the Drugs and Cosmetics Act and regulations made thereunder.”

- 7.16 The Ethical Committee recommended that the word “Elective” to be inserted in the second line between the words “an” and “operation”.

- 7.20 The Committee recommended the modification of this paragraph as follows: “A physician shall not claim to be specialist unless he has a special qualification in that branch. Special qualification means degree/diploma in the concerned speciality. However, this provision does not debar a doctor having proper and adequate training/exposure, competency and experience to work in any particular discipline/branch, where he has got special interest.”

7.23 &

- 7.24. The Ethical Committee is of the opinion that these two provisions do not come under the purview of Etiquette and Ethics. This is absolutely an administrative matter, which can be dealt with at the administrative level, and hence these should be deleted from the regulations.

- 8.5 In this clause, it has been proposed that during the pendency of the complaint, the appropriate medical council may restrain the physician from performing the procedure or practice which is under scrutiny. It means that any complaint received by any State Medical Council/Medical Council of India against the registered physician, the Council have to initiate action without even undertaking proper investigation and enquiry. It is illogical and bad in law, hence this clause to be deleted.

- 1.6 Under 8.6, to judge the professional competence by the peer group as per the guidelines prescribed by the Medical Council of India, the Council may co-opt any expert(s) from the concerned speciality to which the physician belongs to.

The Committee further recommended the following:-

- i. Medical Council of India may advertise in the National newspapers for all the Registered Medical Practitioners in modern medicine informing them that the “Indian Medical Council (professional Conduct Etiquette and Ethics) Regulations,2002 is available in the following - website : www.mciindia.org/know/rules/ethics.htm. and

- the doctors may download these regulations and annexures from the website.
- ii. All the State Medical Councils are to be informed about the website and they may be requested to see that all the doctor's registered under their Council are made known about this new Regulations."

The Council deliberated upon the matter and appreciated the work put in for preparing the document by the Chairman, Ethical Committee. However, it decided to obtain the observations/suggestions from the members of the Council by circulating the proposed amendments. The Council further authorised the Chairman, Ethical Committee to take decision keeping in view the observations/suggestions thus received and the same be then circulated to all the members of the Council.

102. SLP No. 14919/2000 filed by the MCI –vs- Madhu Singh & Others before the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Read : The judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 11.9.2002 passed in SLP No. 14919/2000 filed by the MCI –vs- Madhu Singh & Others.

The Council considered the judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 11.9.2002 passed in SLP No. 14919/2000 filed by the MCI –vs- Madhu Singh & Others and noted that the Hon'ble Court while having set aside the judgement of the Hon'ble High court had concluded and directed as under:-

- (i) there is no scope for admitting students mid-stream as that would be against very spirit of statutes governing the medical education;
- (ii) even if, seats are unfilled that cannot be a ground for making mid-session admissions;
- (iii) there cannot be telescoping of unfilled seats of one year with permitted seats of the subsequent year;
- (iv) the MCI shall ensure that the examining bodies fix a time schedule specifying the duration of this course, the date of commencement of the course and the last date of admission;
- (v) different modalities for admission can be worked out and necessary steps like holding of examination if prescribed, counselling and the like have to be completed within the specified time;
- (vi) no variation of the schedule so far as admissions are concerned shall be allowed.
- (vii) in case of any deviation by the concerned institution, action as prescribed shall be taken by the MCI.

The Council deliberated upon the matter and decided to form a Sub-Committee to formulate (a) A time schedule specifying the duration of the course, the date of commencement of the course and last date for admission to undergraduate course; (b) Consideration of working out different modalities of admission and necessary steps for holding of examination if prescribed, counselling etc. within the specified time. The following are the members of the Sub-Committee:-

1. Dr. P.C.Kesavankutty Nayar, Thiruvananthapuram - Chairman
2. Dr. C.V. Bhirmanandham, Chennai
3. Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra, Nagpur
4. Dr. Indrajit Ray, Kolkata
5. Dr. D.K. Sharma, Meerut
6. Prof. G. Sham Sunder, Vijayawada
7. Dr. B.P. Dubey, Bhopal

The Council further decided that the Sub-Committee will work out the modalities for admission to undergraduate as well as for postgraduate courses and after finalising the recommendations the same be circulated to all the members of the Council for their observations, if any, to be sent within 15 days from the receipt of the report of the Committee.

103. Report of the Department related Parliamentary Standing Committee on Human Resource Development – Hundred Twelfth Report on Medical Education presented to Parliament on 13th December,2001.

Read : The report of the Parliamentary Standing Committee on Human Resource Development along with the comments of the Council thereon.

The Council noted that the Executive Committee considered the matter at its meeting held on 31/10/2002 where the Committee decided to authorize Dr.V.K. Puri to suggest the names on the Sub-Committee which should be constituted to prepare report on various issues raised in the report.

The Council further noted the following names as suggested by Dr. V.K.Puri – Convenor to work on the Sub-Committees:

Dr.V.P.Mishra.
Dr. L.S. Chawla.
Dr. D.K. Sharma
Dr. C.V. Bhirmanandham

The Council decided that the Sub-Committee should complete its task as expeditiously as possible and the report thus submitted will be circulated to the members of the Council for their perusal and finalization of the recommendations for onward transmission to the Central Government, Ministry of Health & F.W. The issues/topics which have been allotted to the members for discussions are as under:-

- | | |
|-----------------------|------------------------|
| 1. Over view/MCI Role | -Dr.Ved Prakash Mishra |
| | -Dr.L.S.Chawla |

- | | |
|--|---|
| 2. Procedure for New Medical College/
Increase in number of seats etc.
Poor condition of Govt.Med. Colleges. | -Dr.D.K. Sharma
-Dr.C.V.Bhirmanandham |
| 3. Admission Procedures curriculum,
NRI quota, Examination, Carrier
Planning. | -Dr.V.K. Puri
-Dr.C.V. Bhirmanandham |
| 4. Teachers Eligibility Etc. | -Dr.D.K. Sharma
Dr.Ved Prakash Mishra |
| 5. Private Practice/Rural Medicine etc. | -Dr.V.K. Puri
Dr.L.S.Chawla |
| 6. BrainDrain/Mushrooming of Lab.&
Diagnostic Centres. | -Dr.D.K.Sharma
Dr.L.S.Chawla. |
| 7. Indian Medical Service/Think Tank
Reciprocal recognition etc. | -Dr.Ved Prakash Mishra
Dr.V.K. Puri
Dr.L.S.Chawla |
| 8. National Institution, Joint
Consultation Machinery
Teacher student relationship. | -Dr.D.K.Sharma
Dr.C.V. Bhirmanandham |

104. Eligibility qualification for admission to DM (Pulmonary Medicine) qualification for inclusion in the regulations of Council on Postgraduate Medical Education.

Read : The matter with regard to eligibility qualification for admission in DM(Pulmonary Medicine) course for inclusion in the regulations of Council.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee reconsidered the matter with regard to eligibility qualification for admission in DM (Pulmonary Medicine & Critical Care Medicine) course for inclusion in the regulations of Council on Postgraduate Medical Education and noted that the prescribed nomenclature in Postgraduate Regulations is D.M.(Pulmonary Medicine), and decided to recommend that for getting admission in D.M.(Pulmonary Medicine) course, one of the following be the prior eligibility qualification:-

1. M.D.(General Medicine)
2. M.D.(Paediatrics)
3. M.D.(T.B. & Respiratory Diseases)

105. Members who did not attend three consecutive General Body meeting of the Council as per provisions of Section 7(3) of the

I.M.C. Act,1956 – Consideration of removal of their names from the members list.

The matter stands already considered by the General Body of the Council at its meeting held on 16.10.2002. However, the contents of letter dated 10.11.2002 of Dr. Prem Aggarwal were brought to the notice of the General Body of the Council when the General Body decided that when the item pertaining to vacating the membership under the provisions of Section 7(3) of the I.M.C. Act,1956 was being considered in the previous General Body meeting held on 16.10.2002 Dr. Prem Aggarwal was present. It was also noted that no communication was received in the Council office and the letter dated 27.08.2002 which has been enclosed by Dr. Prem Aggarwal to his letter dated 10.11.2002 is also not available in the Council office as seen from the diary register. As per the provisions of Section 7(3), the Council has taken a decision correctly and accordingly Dr. Prem Aggarwal has deemed to vacate his membership u/s 7(3).

106. Notification of new members.

This item was considered on 20th Nov.,2002 and decision is recorded on page No. 3.

107. Condolences to the bereaved family of Dr. B.Ray Chaudhuri, former Vice-Chancellor, Calcutta University and member of the Council who passed away on 16/11/2002.

This item was considered on 20th Nov.,2002 and decision is recorded on page No. 2.

108. Minutes of the last meeting of the Council – Confirmation of.

This item was considered on 20th Nov.,2002 and decision is recorded on page No. 3.

109. Minutes of the last meeting of the Council – Action taken thereof.

This item was considered on 20th Nov.,2002 and decision is recorded on page No. 3.

110. Approval of Sri Guru Ram Das Instt. of Medical Sciences & Research, Sri Amritsar for the award of MBBS degree granted by Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot.

Read : The matter with regard to of approval of Sri Guru Ram Das Instt. of Medical Sciences & Research, Sri Amritsar for the award of MBBS degree granted by Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee:-

“The Executive Committee noted that inspection for approval of Sri Guru Ram Das Instt. of Medical Sciences & Research, Sri Amritsar for the award of MBBS degree granted by Baba Farid University of

Health Sciences, Faridkot was carried out by the Council on 10th & 11th Dec.,2001 and the inspection report was considered by the Committee in its meeting held on 31.1.2002. In view of the deficiencies pointed out in the inspection report, the Committee did not recommend approval of the college for the award of MBBS degree. Further on receipt of the compliance on rectification of the deficiencies pointed out in the above inspection report the matter was again considered by the Committee at its meeting held on 24.04.2002.

From the compliance the Committee noted that the institution authorities have modified/changed the project report and have now informed that a new hospital of 993 beds capacity was envisaged only for future expansion and that as far as 50 ,annual admissions are concerned the existing 400 bedded Sri Guru Ram Das Charitable Hospital, Amritsar is presently existing. The Committee also noted the contention of the authorities that the idea of new hospital is being dropped and the existing 400 bedded hospital shall continue to function which amounted to change of the project report.

After much deliberations in the matter, it was decided to recommend to the Central Govt. to renew the permission for 50 admissions at Sri Guru Ram Das Instt. of Medical Sciences & Research, Sri Amritsar for the academic session 2002-03.

The Committee also decided that as far as the infrastructure facilities required for consideration of an institution for recognition/approval is concerned the institution i.e. Sri Guru Ram Das Instt. of Medical Sciences & Research, Sri Amritsar is meeting with the requirements. However, as the institution has changed the original project report as far as hospital is concerned i.e. they would like to continue with the existing 400 bedded hospital and the hospital of 993 beds capacity which was being built up is envisaged only for future expansion, it was decided that acceptance of change of the project report at this stage cannot be considered by the Medical Council of India. However, Central Govt. may take a decision in this regard i.e. regarding recognition.

The Committee further noted that the Central Govt. vide its letter dated 25.6.2002 had renewed the permission for admission of 6th batch of students for the academic session 2002-03.

The Committee now considered the letter dated 12.9.2002 received from the Director, Govt. of India, Ministry of Health & F.W. intimating therein that the mater regarding change in the original project report in so far as using the existing 400 bedded hospital for training of the students of Sri Guru Ram Das Instt. of Medical Sciences, Sri Amritsar, was considered in the department and it has been decided with the approval of the competent authority that the change in original project report of above instituted be allowed to the extent of giving Government's permission for accepting the 400 bedded existing hospital as an attached hospital under the Establishment of New Medical College Regulations of 1993 of Medical Council of India. However, in case if any further increase in

intake capacity or to run the PG courses at the institute they have to come up with the proposal under the IMC Act and Regulations made thereunder which stipulates that hospital should be in the campus of the college.

In view of above, the Committee decided to recommend that Sri Guru Ram Das Instt. of Medical Sciences, Sri Amritsar be approved for the award of MBBS degree granted by Baba Farid University of Health Sciences, Faridkot with an annual intake of 50 students.

The Committee further decided that in case of application for any further increase in intake capacity or to run the PG courses at the institution, the authorities will have to provide in the proposal as per the IMC Act and Regulations a hospital situated in the campus of the college as also stated in the Central Govt.'s letter dated 12.9.2002."

111. Publication of Indian Medical Register in the Gazette of India – Regarding.

Read : The office note along with the recommendations of the Executive Committee.

The Council considered and approved the office note along with the recommendations of the Executive Committee as under:-

“Section 21 of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956 provides for the maintenance of Indian Medical Register and publication in the Gazette of India, as revised from time to time which reads as under: -

INDIAN MEDICAL REGISTER

21 (1) The Council shall cause to be maintained in the prescribed manner a register of medical practitioners to be known as the Indian Medical Register, which shall contain the names of all persons who are for the time being enrolled on any State Medical Register and who possess any of the recognised medical qualifications.

(2) It shall be the duty of the Registrar of the Council to keep the Indian Medical Register in accordance with the provisions of this Act and of any orders made by the Council, and from time to time to revise the register and publish it in the Gazette of India and in such other manner as may be prescribed.

(3) Such register shall be deemed to be public document within the meaning of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872 and may be proved by a copy published in the Gazette of India.”

The last Indian Medical Register was published in the Gazette of India in 1992 and thereafter efforts have been made to publish the revised IMR. The Indian Medical Registers for the years 1993 and 1994 have been sent for publication in the Gazette of India. The estimated cost for each publication is about Rs.30 Lakhs for English version only

and including Hindi version, the cost may go upto more than Rs.50 Lakhs. The preparation of Indian Medical Registers for the years 1995 to 2001 has been given to M/s Logic Soft.

It has also been observed that each copy of the Indian Medical Register as published in the gazette may cost about Rs.60,000/- per copy. It is doubtful whether any registered medical practitioner would purchase such a document at such higher price. Further, no-one seems to have even referred to the Indian Medical Register even in the Council office. The Indian Medical Register also may not be upto date since the state councils do not intimate the names of the registered medical practitioners who have either died or migrated to other states or countries. Since the Indian Medical Register is to be published in the Gazette of India from 1993, as per rough estimates, the cost may go upto about Rs.5 crores, which may also escalate in the coming years. If a decision is taken to stop printing in the gazette, saving of this amount could be effected at the rate of about Rs.50-60 lakhs per annum.

The Council can, no doubt, update the Indian Medical Register every year and prepare a few copies for reference in the Council office and may also issue Indian Medical Register certificates to desiring candidates.

Keeping in view the high cost of publication, both in English and Hindi, it is to be considered whether a proposal may be made to the Central Govt. to amend the relevant provision in the Act quoted above so as to delete the provision for publication of the Indian Medical Register in the Gazette of India which appears to be wasteful exorbitant expenditure.

The Executive Committee accepted the suggestion of the office and decided that the Central Govt. may be requested to amend the relevant provision in the Act so as to delete the provision for publication of the Indian Medical Register in the Gazette of India which appears to be a wasteful exorbitant expenditure. However, the Council will update the Indian Medical Register every year and prepare few copies for reference in the Council office. The Council will also continue to issue Indian Medical Register certificates to the desiring candidates.”

112. To consider the matter with regard to amendment in the notification issued by the Central Govt. for recognition of MBBS degree granted by Assam University in respect of students being trained at Silchar Medical College, Silchar.

Read : The letter dated 7/9/2002 received from the Registrar, Assam University, Silchar for amendment in the notification issued by the Central Govt. for recognition of MBBS degree by Assam University in respect of students being trained at Silchar Medical College, Silchar.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee:-

“The Executive Committee noted that the Central Govt. vide Gazette notification dated 29.3.2002 notified that MBBS degree granted by Assam University in respect of students being trained at Silchar Medical College, Silchar be recognised when granted on or after June,1999.

The Committee further considered the letter dated 7.9.2002 received from the Registrar, Assam University who has informed that Assam University first conducted MBBS examination in respect of students being trained at Silchar Medical College in the month of July,1994 and declared the result in August,1994, hence, MBBS degree being awarded by the Assam University be recognised w.e.f. July,1994.

In view of above, the Executive Committee decided to recommend that MBBS degree granted by Assam University in respect of students being trained at Silchar Medical College, Silchar be recognised w.e.f. July,1994 and the Central Govt. be requested to send amendment to the notification regarding recognition of MBBS degree.”

113. Complaint made by Shri Brahan Prakash against Dr. Subhash Yadav Geetanjali Hospital, New Sumit Cinema, Gurgaon Road, Pataudi.

Read : The recommendations of the Ethical Committee with regard to removal of name of Dr. Subhash Yadav, Geetanjali Hospital, Pataudi, from the Medical Register for a period of one month as referred by the Executive Committee.

The Council considered the following recommendations of the Ethical Committee as referred by the Executive Committee.

“The Ethical Committee in its meeting held on 10.9.2002 in the MCI office deliberated upon the case of Dr. Subhash Yadav of Geetanjali Hospital, Gurgaon Road, Pataudi. The Committee had summoned Dr. Yadav to appear before it and Dr. Yadav also appeared before the Ethical Committee. The Committee members questioned Dr. Yadav and obtained his answers, which were recorded and signed by Dr. Yadav.

The Committee is of the unanimous opinion that Dr. Subhash Yadav has committed a gross misconduct by not obtaining the written consent of the patient/guardians before an elective operation leading to loss of a part of an organ (finger) and the Committee therefore recommends removal of the name of Dr.Subhash Yadav for a period of one month from the medical register.

The Committee therefore unanimously recommends to the General Body of the Medical Council of India that his name be temporarily erased from the medical register for a period of one month.”

The Council agreed with the recommendations of the Executive Committee that the name of Dr. Subhash Yadav be temporarily erased from the medical register for a period of one month.

114. Proposal for amending the Minimum Qualifications for Teachers in Medical Institutions Regulations, 1998 with regard to age limit for teachers in medical colleges/institutions Civil writ petition No.5407/2002 filed by Nelkanth Paralkar – vs- Union of India & Others.

Read : The proposal for amending the Minimum Qualifications for Teachers in Medical Institutions Regulations, 1998 with regard to age limit for teachers in medical colleges/institutions Civil writ petition No.5407/2002 filed by Nelkanth Paralkar – vs- Union of India & Others along with the recommendations of the Teachers' Eligibility Qualifications Sub-Committee duly accepted by the Executive Committee.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Teachers' Eligibility Qualifications Sub-Committee duly accepted by the Executive Committee and decided to send the same to the Central Govt. for approval u/s 33 of the I.M.C. Act, 1956:-

“The Committee after having deliberations with respect to upper age of retirement of teachers/Dean/Principal/Director/ Medical Superintendent in medical colleges/teaching institutions in the country in the light of judgement dated 17.11.2000 delivered by Hon'ble High Court of Bombay in Writ Petition No. 612/2000 between Neelkanth Paratkar Vs. Union of India & Ors. along with Central Govt., Ministry of Health & F.W. letter dated 22.8.1997 decided to recommend to incorporate upper age limit of 65 years for appointment/continuation of appointment as Teacher/Dean/Principal/Director/Medical Superintendent in any medical college/teaching institution of the country, provided that extension of teachers in medical colleges/teaching institutions after the prescribed age of retirement as per relevant government rules, is done on year to year basis, upto the maximum of 65 years of age.”

115. Annual Report of the MCI for the year 2001-2002.

The Council approved the Annual Report of the Medical Council of India for the year 2001-2002.

116. Extension of services of Dr. C.A. Desai as Zonal Inspector for a further period of one year w.e.f. 1/11/2002.

Read : The matter with regard to extension of services of Dr. C.A. Desai as Zonal Inspector for a further period of one year w.e.f. 1/11/2002 along with the recommendations of the Executive Committee.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Executive Committee:-

“The Executive Committee decided to grant extension of services of Dr.C.A. Desai as Zonal Inspector for a further period of one year i.e. with effect from 01.11.2002.”

117. Minutes of the Postgraduate Committee meeting held on 26/10/2002 – approval of.

The Council approved the minutes of the Postgraduate Committee meeting held on 26/10/2002.

118. IA – of 2002 filed by Dr. A.K. Gupta and IA No. – filed by Sh. Vidya Bhushan Kaushik in the matter of Dr. Ketan Desai Vs. Dr. Harish Bhalla & Ors. in the CA No. 603-604/2002 before the Hon’ble Supreme Court.

Read : I.A. of 2002 filed by Dr. A.K. Gupta and IA No. – filed by Sh. Vidya Bhushan Kaushik in the matter of Dr. Ketan Desai Vs. Dr. Harish Bhalla & Ors. in the CA No. 603-604/2002 before the Hon’ble Supreme Court and also the note of the Administrator.

The Council noted that Dr. A.K. Gupta and Shri Vidya Bhushan Kaushik filed separate I.A.’s in the on going matter CA No.603-604 of 2002 between Dr. Ketan Desai Vs. Dr. Harish Bhalla & Ors. before the Hon’ble Supreme Court where the reply affidavits as approved by the Monitoring Committee consisting of Dr. D.K. Sharma and Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra were signed by the Secretary and were sent immediately to the Council Advocate Shri A.Mariarputham for filing.

The Council also noted that the Administrator vide his note dated 26.9.02 to the Secretary desired an explanation on filing of these affidavits without his approval and noted the reply of the Secretary given to the Administrator on the note.

Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra member of the Monitoring Committee vide his fax letter dated 03.10.2002 desired to include the matter with regard to filing of the affidavit in the General Body meeting fixed to be held on 16.10.2002 and also to include in the agenda the facts of issuance of the confidential note dated 26.9.2002 by the Administrator to the Secretary and reply of the Secretary as submitted to the Administrator.

The Council further noted that Administrator did not agree to include this item in the agenda as he felt that filing the affidavit was purely an administrative matter and office procedure.

Council took serious view of the directions of the Administrator not allowing the item to be placed in the General Body meeting held on 16.10.2002 where it was decided with a clear mandate to place the matter before the General Body for its consideration and filing of affidavits were

under the directions of the Monitoring Committee appointed by the General Body.

The Council considered the whole matter and found that the note of the Administrator dated 26.9.2002 to the Secretary was unwarranted and decided that the same be treated as annulled. As regards filing of the affidavits Council noted that the same was in order and approved by the Monitoring Committee and the matter now is before the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

It was also informed to the House that the questionable portion of the affidavit has been withdrawn by Dr. A.K. Gupta by filing another affidavit in the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Accordingly, the note prepared by the office is required to be updated in the concerned files.

119. Sri Ramachandra Medical College & Research Institute, Porur, Chennai (Deemed University) – Recognition of D.D. qualification in respect of students being trained at Sri Ramachandra Medical College & Research Institute, Porur, Chennai.

Read : The Council Inspectors report ((June, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Sri Ramachandra Medical College & Research Institute, Porur, Chennai (Deemed University) for purpose of recognition of D.D. qualification granted by the Institute.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector's report (June,2002) and decided to recommend that D.D. qualification granted by Sri Ramachandra Medical College & Research Institute, Porur, Chennai (Deemed University) in respect of students being trained at Sri Ramachandra Medical College & Research Institute, Porur, Chennai be recognised and included in the first schedule to the I.M.C.Act,1956 restricting the number of admissions to 4(four) students per year.

The Committee further decided to direct the institution to change the nomenclature of Diploma in Dermatology (DD) to Diploma in Derm., Vene. & Leprosy (DDVL) in consonance with the regulations of the Council on Postgraduate Medical Education – 2000.”

120. (i) Bombay University – Approval of Goa Medical College, Bambolim, Goa for the award of M.D. (S.P.M.) & D.P.H. qualifications.

(ii) Goa University – Recognition of M.D. (SPM) & DPH qualifications in respect of students being trained at Goa Medical College, Bambolim, Goa.

Read : The Council Inspector's report (May, 2002) on the standard of examination and other teaching facilities available at Goa Medical College, Bambolim, Goa for purpose of i) approval of the college for the award of

M.D.(S.P.M.) qualification granted by Bombay University and also ii) for recognition of M.D.(S.P.M.) & D.P.H. qualifications granted by Goa University.

The Council approved the following recommendations of the Postgraduate Committee:-

“The Postgraduate Committee considered the Council Inspector’s report (May,2002) and decided to recommend that:-

- i) Goa Medical College, Bombolim be approved for the award of MD(SPM)& DPH qualifications earlier granted by Bombay University.
- ii) MD(SPM)&DPH qualifications granted by Goa University in respect of Goa Medical College, Bombolim, Goa be recognised and included in the first schedule to the I.M.C.Act,1956 restricting the number of annual admissions to 1(one) student for MD (SPM) and 2(two) students for DPH courses.”

121. Approval of Maharashtra Instt. of Medical Education & Research, Talegaon for the award of MBBS degree granted by Pune University.

Read : The matter with regard to approval of Maharashtra Instt. of Medical Education & Research, Talegaon for the award of MBBS degree granted by Pune University.

The Council considered the following recommendations of the Executive Committee:-

“The Executive Committee considered the compliance verification inspection report (28th & 29th Oct.,2002) and noted that the lease deed executed between the Lesser and the Lessee in the year 1990 denotes the lease period for 33 years; subsequently lease deed executed in the year 1996 denotes the lease period as 99 years with a provision to extend for a further period of 999 yrs. Neither of these lease deeds are registered and doesn’t provide legal validity for the Lease Agreement.

In view of above, the Committee decided to obtain legal opinion regarding the validity of lease deeds. Since the matter pertains to approval of the college for the award of MBBS degree, the Committee further decided to place the matter along with the legal opinion before the General Body of the Council to be held on 20.11.2002 for its consideration.”

The Council noted that the matter has been referred to the Council Advocate for legal opinion who vide his letter dated 18th Nov., 2002 has

intimated that a note for opinion by the Ld.Solicitor General of India has been sent.

The Council decided that after obtaining the legal opinion, the matter be decided by the Executive Committee and the recommendations of the Executive Committee be placed before the General Body of the Council for its consideration.

122. Under this item the following matters were considered with the permission of the Chair:-

[a] The Council decided to add the following names in the panel of Advocates already approved by the Executive Committee at its meeting held on 28.8.2002 and 09.09.2002 under the heading senior advocates and advocates on record:-

Senior Advocates

- (i) Mr. Harish Salve
- (ii) Mr. K.K. Venugopal
- (iii) Mr. Rajiv Dhawan
- (iv) Mr. Anil Dewan

Advocates on record:-

- (i) Ms. Bina Gupta
- (ii) Mr. Bhargav Desai

[b] The Council decided to organise a Workshop on evaluation & relevance of regulations governing starting of new medical colleges and postgraduate courses in the month of February,2003 and further decided that Dr. L.S. Chawla and Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra will prepare a thematic document and detailed scheme for the workshop which shall be held for two days.

[c] The Council also decided that the matter with regard to Accreditation of Medical Institutions in the country which is pending for quite sometime be taken up, so as to give the scheme a final shape.

[d] The Council approved the Panel of Inspectors prepared by the office for carrying out the inspection of medical colleges on behalf of the Council and decided to send a copy of the same to the Central Govt. for information and perusal.

[e] Council noted that in some of the medical colleges the staff possessing homoeopathic as well as ayurvedic qualification(s) are working especially in the Blood Bank. The Council took note of this and decided that the persons possessing medical qualifications which are included in the Schedule to the Indian Medical Council Act,1956 alone are entitled to practice modern medicine. It was further decided that a circular letter be sent to all the State Govts. directing them to comply with the regulations of

the Council regarding appointment of teaching and other staff in medical colleges.

123. Date and venue of the next meeting of the General Body.

The next meeting of the General Body of the Council shall be held on 12th & 13th March, 2003 at 11.30 a.m. in the Council office, Aiwan-E-Galib Marg, Kotla Road, New Delhi-110 002.

(Dr. M. Sachdeva)
Secretary

**New Delhi, dated
the 20th/21st Nov., 2002**

A P P R O V E D

(Dr. P.C.Kesavankutty Nayar)
Acting President

